## 4 Master Boot Record Nibbles; or, One Boot Sector PoC Deserves Another

by Eric Davisson

I was inspired by the boot sector Tetranglix game by Juhani Haverinen, Owen Shepherd, and Shikhin Sethi published as PoC\|GTFO 3:8. I feel more creative when dealing with extreme limitations, and 512 bytes ( 510 with the $0 \times 55$ AA signature) of realmode assembly sounded like a great way to learn BIOS API stuff. I mostly learned some int $0 \times 10$ and $0 \times 16$ from this exercise, with a bit of int $0 \times 19$ from a pull request.

The game looks a lot more like snake or nibbles, except that the tail never follows the head, so the game piece acts less like a snake and more like a streak left in Tron. I called it Tron Solitaire because there is only one player. This game has an advanced/dynamic scoring system with bonus and trap items, and progressively increasing game speed. This game can also be won.

I've done plenty of protected mode assembly and machine code hacking, but for some reason have never jumped down to real mode. Tetranglix gave me a hefty head start by showing me how to do things like quickly setting up a stack and some video memory. I would have possibly struggled a little with int $0 \times 16$ keyboard handling without this code as a reference. Also, I re-used the elegant random value implementation as well. Finally, the PIT (Programmable Interval Timer) delay loop used in Tetranglix gave me a good start on my own dynamically timed delay.

I also learned how incredibly easy it was to get started with 16 -bit real mode programming. I owe a lot of this to the immediate gratification from utilities like qemu. Looking at OS guides like the osdev.org wiki was a bit intimidating, because writing an OS is not at all trivial, but I wanted to start with much less than that. Just because I want to write real mode boot sector code doesn't mean I'm trying to actually boot something. So a lot of the instructions and guides I found had a lot of information that wasn't applicable to my unusual needs and desires.


I found that there were only two small things I needed to do in order to write this code: make sure the boot image file is exactly 512 bytes and make sure the last two bytes are 0x55AA. That's it! All the rest of the code is all yours. You could literally start a file with 0xEBFE (two-byte unconditional infinite "jump to self" loop), have 508 bytes of nulls (or ANYTHING else), and end with 0x55AA, and you'll have a valid "boot" image that doesn't error or crash. So I started with that simple PoC and built my way up to a game.

The most dramatic space savers were also the least interesting. Instead of cool low level hacks, it usually comes down to replacing a bad algorithm. One example is that the game screen has a nice blue border. Initially, I drew the top and bottom lines, and then the right and left lines. I even thought I was clever by drawing the right and left lines together, two pixels at a time-because drawing a right pixel and incrementing brings me to the left and one row down. I used this side-effect to save code, rewriting a single routine to be both right and left.

However, all of this was still too much code. I tried something simpler: first splashing the whole screen with blue, then filling in a black box to only leave the blue border. The black box code still wasn't trivial, but much less code than the previous method. This saved me sixteen precious bytes!

Less than a week after I put this on Github, my friend Darkvoxels made a pull request to change the game-over screen. Instead of splashing the screen red and idling, he just restarts the game. I liked this idea and merged. As his game-over is just a simple int 0x19, he saved ten bytes.

Although I may not have tons of reusable subrou-
tines, I still avoided inlining as much as possible. In my experience, inlining is great for runtime performance because it cuts out the overhead of jumping around the code space and stack overhead. However, this tends to create more code as the tradeoff. With 510 effective bytes to work with, I would gladly trade speed for space. If I see a few consecutive instructions that repeat, I try to make a routine of it.

I also took a few opportunities to use selfmodifying code to save on space. No longer do I have to manually hex hack the w bit in the rwx attribute in the .text section of an ELF header; real mode trusts me to do all of the "bad" things that dev hipsters rage at me about. So the rest of this article will be about these hacks.

Two of the self-modifying code hacks in this code are similar in concept. There are a couple of places where I needed something similar to a global variable. I could push and pop it to and from the stack when needed, but that requires more bytes of code
overhead than I had to spare. I could also use a dedicated register, but there are too few of those. On the other hand, assuming I'm actually using this dynamic data, it's going to end up being part of an operand in the machine code, which is what I would consider its persisted location. (Not a register, not the stack, but inside the actual code.)

As the pixel streak moves around on the gameboard, the player gets one point per character movement. When the player collects a bonus item of any value, this one-point-per gets three added to it, becoming a four-points-per. If another additional bonus item is collected, it would be up to 7 points. The code to add one point is selfmodify: add ax, 1. When a bonus item is collected, the routine for doing bonus points also has this line add byte [selfmodify +2 ], 3. The +2 offset to our add ax, 1 instruction is the byte where the 1 operand was located, allowing us to directly modify it.



On a less technical note, this adds to the strategy of the game; it discourages just filling the screen up with the streak while avoiding items (so as to not create a mess) and just waiting out the clock. In fact, it is nearly impossible to win this way. To win, it is a better strategy to get as many bonuses as early as possible to take advantage of this progressive scoring system.

Another self-modifying code trick is used on the "win" screen. The background to the "YOU WIN!" screen does some color and character cycling, which is really just an increment. It is initialized with winbg: mov ax, 0 , and we can later increment through it with inc word [winbg $+0 x 01$ ]. What I also find interesting about this is that we can't do a space saving hack like just changing mov ax, 0 to xor ax, ax. Yes, the result is the same; ax will equal $0 \times 0000$ and the xor takes less code space. However, the machine code for xor ax, ax is $0 \times 31 c 0$, where $0 \times 31$ is the xor and $0 x c 0$ represents "ax with ax." The increment instruction would be incrementing the $0 x c 0$ byte, and the first byte of the next instruction since the word modifier was used (which is even worse). This would not increment an immediate value, instead it would do another xor of different registers each time.


Also, instead of using an elaborate string print function, I have a loop to print a character at a pointer where my "YOU WIN!" string is stored (winloop: mov al, [winmessage]), and then use self-modifying code to increment the pointer on each round. (inc byte [winloop $+0 x 01$ ])

The most interesting self-modifying code in this game changes the opcode, rather than an operand. Though the code for the trap items and the bonus items have a lot of differences, there are a significant amount of consecutive instructions that are exactly the same, with the exception of the addition (bonus) or the subtraction (trap) of the score. This is because the score actually persists in video memory, and there is some code overhead to extract it and push it back before and after adding or subtracting to it.

So I made all of this a subroutine. In my assembly source you will see it as an addition (math: add ax, cx), even though the instruction initialized there could be arbitrary. Fortunately for me, the machine code format for this addition and subtraction instruction are the same. This means we can dynamically drop in whichever opcode we want to use for our current need on the fly. Specifically, the add I use is ADD $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{m} 16, \mathrm{r} 16(0 \mathrm{x} 01 / \mathrm{r})$ and the sub I use is SUB $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{m} 16$, $\mathrm{r} 16(0 \times 29 / \mathrm{r})$. So if it's a bonus item, we'll self modify the routine to add (mov byte [math] , 0x01) and call it, then do other bonus related instructions after the return. If it's a trap item, we'll self modify the routine to subtract (mov byte [math] , 0x29) and call it, then do trap/penalty instructions after the return. This whole hack isn't without some overhead; the most exciting thing is that this hack saved me one byte, but even a single byte is a lot when making a program this small!


I hope these tricks are handy for you when writing your own 512-byte game, and also that you'll share your game with the rest of us. Complete code and prebuilt binaries are available in the ZIP portion of this release. ${ }^{8}$
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```
    sub bx, ax 
    mov ax, bx ;get it into ax
```

mov bx, $[0 \times 046 \mathrm{C}] ;$ Get timer state
add bx, $\mathbf{a x}$; Wait $1-4$ ticks (progressive
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { add bx, ax } & \text {; Wait 1-4 ticks (progressive } \\ \text {;add bx }, ~ 8 & \text { difficulty) } \\ \text {; \#nCHEAT (comment above line out and uncomment }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { add bx, ax } & \text {; Wait 1-4 ticks (progressive } \\ \text {; difficulty ) } \\ \text {; \#dCHEAT (comment } & \text {; anprogressively slow cheat }\end{array}$
;\#CHEAT (com
; this
$\underset{\text { cmp }}{\text { jne }}$ delay $[0 \times 046 \mathrm{C}], \quad \mathbf{b x}$
jne delay
; Get keyboard state
mov ah, ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}{ }^{\text {nnt }} 0 \times 16$
int $0 \times 16$
$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j z} \text { persisted } & ; \text { if no keypress, jump to } \\ & ; \text { persisting move state }\end{aligned}$
; Clear Keyboard buffer
xor ah, ah
int $0 \times 16$
; Check for directional pushes and take action
cmp ah, LEFT
je left
$\underset{\text { cmp ah, }}{\text { je }}$, RIGht
cmp ah, UP
je up
je up
cmp ah, DOWN
je down
jmp mainloop
; Otherwise, move in direction last chosen
persisted:
cmp cx, LEFT
je left
cmp cx, RIGHT
je right
cmp cx
cmp cx, UP
je up
je up
cmp cx,
je down
cmp cx,
je down
; This will only happen before first keypress
jmp mainloop
; This will only happen before first keypress
jmp mainloop
left:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { mov cx, LEFT } & \text {; for persistenc } \\ \text { sub di, } 4 & \text {;coordinate offset correction }\end{array}$
sub di, 4
call movement
; coordinate offset correction
call movement_overhead
jmp mainloop
right:
mov $\mathbf{e x}$, RIGHT
call movement_overhead
jmp mainloop
up:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { mov } & \text { cx, } & \text { UP } \\ \text { sub } & \text { di, } & 162\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { mov cx, } & \text { UP } \\ \text { sub di, } & 162\end{array}$
subli movement_overhead
jmp mainloop
down:
mov cx, DOWN
add di, 158
add di, 158
call movement_overhead
jmp mainloop
movement_overhead:
call collision _
vement_overhead:
call collision check
mov ax, $0 \times 2 \mathrm{f} 20$
stosw
stosw
call
call
ret
collision_check
collision check
mov bx, di dicurrent location on screen
$\operatorname{mov} \mathbf{b x}, \quad \mathbf{d i}: \begin{aligned} & \text {; current location on screen } \\ & \operatorname{mov} \mathbf{a x}, ~[\mathbf{e s : b x}] \\ & \text {;grab video buffer+ current } \\ & \text {;location }\end{aligned}$
; Did we Lose?
; \#CHEAT: comment out all 4 of these checks
; ( 8 instructions) to be invincible
cmp ax, 0x2f20, did we land on green
je gameover
cmp ax, $0 \times 1 \mathrm{f} 20$; did we land on blue
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { cmp ax, oxif20 } & \text {; did we land } \\ \text { je gameover } & \text { (border)? }\end{array}$
je gameover
cmp bx, 0 x 0 fol
; did we land in score
$\begin{aligned} \text { cmp bx, } 0 \times 0 \mathrm{fO2} & ; \text { did we land } \\ & ; \text { coordinate? }\end{aligned}$
je gameover
cmp ax, 0 xcf 37
je gameover
cmp ax, 0 xcf 37
; magic red 7
je gameover
; Score Changes
push ax
push ax
and ax, $0 \times f 000$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { and ax, } 0 \times f 000 & \text {; mask background } \\ \text { cmp ax, } 0 \times 2000 & \text {; add to score }\end{array}$
cmp ax, 0xa000; add to score
je bonus ax, $0 \times \mathrm{xc} 000$; subtract from score
; save copy of ax/item
cmp ax, $\quad ;($ self )?
je gameover
je gameover
mask background
; did we land on blue
- location
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \quad \odot \quad \underset{\sim}{0}$ O
je down dOWN
sub di, 4

    jmp mainloop
    mov
    up
score

$\rightarrow$
ah, RIGHT

$$
x
$$

            je penalty
    pop ax $\quad$; restore $a x$
ret
bonus
mov byte [math], $0 \times 01$
; make itemstuff: routine use
; make opcode
call itemstuff
; put data back in
mov di, bx ; restore coordinate
add byte [selfmodify +2 ], 3
ret
nalty
mov byte [math], $0 \times 29$
; make itemstuff: routine use
; sub opcode
call itemstuff
cmp ax, $0 \times 0000$
; sanity check for integer
; underflow
ja underflow
stosw ;put data back in
mov di, bx ;restore coordinate
ret
underflow:
mov ax, $0 \times 0100$
mov ax, $0 \times 010$
stosw
mov di, bx
ret
itemstuff:
pop dx ;store return
and ax, $0 \times 000 \mathrm{f}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { inc ax } & \\ \text { shl ax, } 8 & ; 1-8 \text { instead of } 0-7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { shlax, } 8 & \text {; multiply value by } 256 \\ \text { push ax } & \text {;store the value }\end{array}$
mov bx, di ; save coordinate
mov di, $0 \times 0$ f02 ; set coordinate
mov ax, [es:di] ;read data at coordinate and
pop cx
math:
add ax, cx ;'add, is just a suggestion...
push dx ;restore return
ret
score
push di
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { push di } \\ \text { mov di, } & 0 \times 0 \text { f02 }\end{array}$
mov ax, $\quad[$ es:di]
; set coordinate
mov ax, [es:di] ;read data at coordinate
or each mov of character, add ' $n$ ' to score
; this source shows add ax, 1 , however, each
; bonus item that is picked up increments this
; bonus item that is picked up increments this
; value by 3 each time an item is picked up.
; Yes, this is self modifying code, which is
; Yes, this is self modifying code, which is
; why the lable , selfmodify: is seen above, to
; be conveniently used as an address to pivot
; off of in an add byte [selfmodify + offset to
selfmodify: add ax, 1 ; increment character in
stosw ; ; coordinate
stosw
pop $d i$
; Why oxf60O as score ceiling:
; if it was something like oxffff, a score from
; Oxfffe would likley integer overflow to a low
; range (due to the progressive) scoring.
; Oxf600 gives a good amount of slack for this.
However, it's still "technically" possible to
item after already getting more than bonus
bonus items (2048 points for bonus 514
; points per move) would make the score go from
$0 x f 5 \mathrm{ff}$ to $0 x 0001$.
cmp ax, $0 \times \mathrm{xf} 600$; is the score high enough to
$\begin{aligned} & \text { cmp ax, } 0 \times f 600 \quad \text { is the score } \\ & \text {;', win }\end{aligned}$
$\underset{\text { ret }}{\text { ja }}$ win
random:
; Decide whether to place bonus/trap
rdtsc
and ax, $0 \times 000 \mathrm{f}$
cmp ax, $0 \times 0007$
jne undo
push cx ; save $c x$
; Getting random pixel
redo:
rdtsc
rdtsc ;random
xor ax, dx ; ;or it up a little
xor dx, dx ; clear dx
add ax, [0x046C]; moar randomness
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { add ax, }[0 \times 046 \mathrm{C}] & ; \text { moar randomness } \\ \text { mov cx, } 0 \times 07 \mathrm{~d} 0 & \text { Amount of pixels on screen } \\ \text { div cx } & & \text { dx now has random val }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { div cx } & \text {; dx now has random val } \\ \text { shl dx, } 1 & \text {; adjust for , even }\end{array}$


