

Charles August Schlund, III
8520 North 54th Drive
Glendale, Arizona 85302
(602) 670-2017
Plaintiff In Pro Per

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

CHARLES AUGUST SCHLUND III, an	)	No. CIV 03-1590 PHX VAM
individual,	)	
	)	COMPLAINT FOR RACKETEER
Plaintiff,	)	INFLUENCE CORRUPT
	)	ORGANIZATIONS ACT AND CIVIL
v.	)	RIGHTS
	)	
GEORGE W. BUSH, President of the	)	
United States of America, a sovereign	)	
nation; GEORGE W. BUSH, an individual;	)	
DOES 1-10, individuals; DOES 11-20,	)	
entities,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
	)	

CHARLES AUGUST SCHLUND, III ("Plaintiff Schlund"), an individual,
alleges as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

RACKETEER INFLUENCE CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT

A. JURISDICTION:

1. This action is brought pursuant to including but not limited to the Federal

1 Torts Claim Act, 2 (a) U.S.C. Sections 2671 through 2680; 28 U.S.C. Section 1346 (b);
2 18 U.S.C. Section 1964; 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988, Protection of Human
3 Rights Act, the Convention Against Torture and Cruel, Inhumane or Degrading
4 Treatment or Punishment of June 26, 1987 and as amended thereafter; the Hague
5 Convention; 42 U.S.C. Section 1981; 42 U.S.C. Section 1982, 1983, 1985, and 1986 of
6
7 42 U.S.C. Section 1988 [Proceedings in Vindication of Civil Rights], of said Title IX of
8 Public Law 92-318 [2 U.S.C. (a) Section 1681 et. seq.], the Religious Freedom
9 Restoration Act of 1993 [42 U.S.C. Section 2000 (b) (b), et. seq.], Title VI of the Civil
10 Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. Section 2000 (d) et. seq.], including Section 13981 of said
11 Title, the court in its discretion may allow the prevailing party, other than the United
12 States, a reasonable attorney's fee as part of the cost. Also, under the court's
13 jurisdiction as part of the cost award in its discretion, in awarding fees under 42 U.S.C.
14 Section 1988 (b) and (c) in any action or proceeding to enforce a provision of Section
15 1981 or 1981 (a) of said Title, the court, in its discretion, may include expert fees in
16 addition to the attorney's fees after it orders an expert(s) as provided for under Federal
17 Rules of Civil Procedure set forth infra.

23 2. Plaintiff Schlund's jurisdiction is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
24 Section 1331, 1337 because this matter involves allegations of illegal behavior arising
25 under the laws of the United States, including, but not limited to, violations of Racketeer
26 Influence and Corruption Organization ("RICO"). Furthermore, the jurisdiction is proper
27 pursuant to RICO, 18 U.S.C. Sections 1964 (a), (c) and 28 U.S.C. Section 1651 (a).
28

1 The Defendants are “persons” within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. Sections 1961 (3). As to
2 Plaintiff Schlund, the jurisdiction is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section
3 1332 because the matter and controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000 and
4 involves part of diverse citizenship or status under the Constitution. Plaintiff Schlund is
5 a “person” within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. Section 1961 (3). This court may exercise
6 jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s non-federal claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367, as this
7 court possesses both federal subject matter and/or diversity jurisdiction.
8
9

10
11 **B. VENUE:**

12 Plaintiff alleges that Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section
13 1965 (a) because defendants reside, are found, operate under color of authority or
14 office, have an agent, or connected with or related to the aforesaid, or transact affairs in
15 this district. Venue is also proper in this court pursuant 18 U.S.C. Section 1965 (b)
16 because, to the extent any Defendant may reside outside this district, the ends of justice
17 require such Defendant(s) to be brought before the court. Venue properly lies in this
18 court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 (b) (2) or, alternatively, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
19 Section 1391 (a) (2). Further, Plaintiff alleges certain of the conspiratorial acts alleged
20 herein took place and continue to take place within this judicial district. Any and all of
21 the Does 1-10 who are employed with, contracted with and connected to Defendant
22 U.S.A., DEA or the judicial system can be compelled through order and/or subpoena
23 power of this federal court to be subjected to discovery or otherwise appear before the
24
25
26
27
28

1 court under federal law, executive order, or the Code of Federal Regulations or other
2 process.
3

4 **C. PARTIES:**

5 3. Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that the United States of
6 America, an international sovereign nation, (hereinafter "Defendant U.S.A.")
7 empowered, limited and controlled subject to its United States Constitution (hereinafter
8 "U.S. Constitution"), is the United States of America as set forth by its territorial
9 boundaries description which the court is requested under Federal Rules of Evidence
10 ("F.R.E."), Rule 201, to take judicial notice of said territorial description and boundaries
11 commonly referred to as the United States of America, "U.S.A.", or sometimes United
12 States of America, herein as defined and set forth under the United States Constitution.
13
14

15 4. The U.S.A., pursuant to the United States Constitution Article I, II and III,
16 establishes the legislative powers, executive power and the judicial power of the United
17 States respectively. Plaintiff alleges under the Constitution, the legislative branch
18 establishes various departments of the Federal Government including the Department
19 of Justice ("DOJ"), Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA") and the various sub-
20 entities therewith and acting in concert therewith. On information and belief, George W.
21 Bush, as an individual, ("Defendant Bush" and sometimes referred to as the "Bush
22 Family"), or under color of authority and office under the powers of Article II of the
23 Constitution, utilized the aforesaid department, agency and entity for his own personal
24 gain and in concert with Does 1-10, individuals, within the government and the private
25
26
27
28

1 sector and Does 11-20 in the government and private entity as set forth herein,
2 including the states of the United States ("Members States") and their like branches of
3 government.
4

5 . 5. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Bush is an individual who is also a citizen
6 of the United States who acted with executive power as the President of the United
7 States of America under Article II of the Constitution. Defendant Bush receives for his
8 compensation for services payments from the United States Treasury to conduct his
9 acts and conduct in a faithful manner and uptake and solemnly swears that he will
10 faithfully execute the office of President of the United States and will do the best of his
11 ability, to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States. Under
12 color of authority and office he is also responsible for the DEA, Does 1-10 and Does
13 11-20 entities, acting individually and in concert with one another as support therein
14 pursuant to Article II of the Constitution. Also, under color of authority of office,
15 Defendant Bush shall appoint judges of the Supreme Court and other officers of the
16 United States, whose appointment is not otherwise provided for and established by law
17 under Article I of the Constitution.
18
19
20
21
22

23 6. Plaintiff alleges, is and as a citizen of the Defendant U.S.A. and a
24 domiciled/resident of the State of Arizona, has a legal duty to counter fraud and any
25 other illegal activities affecting his personal, financial interest, welfare, safety or security
26 as a citizen of the Defendant U.S.A. and the State of Arizona through whistle blowing
27 activity, use of the federal and/or state judicial system(s), direct communication to any
28

1 branch of the federal and/or state government or otherwise petition for redress as
2 provided for under Article(s) 4, Section 2 and 3 and as thereafter amended Article I, IV,
3 V, IX, X or XIV of the United States Constitution.
4

5 7. Plaintiff alleges that pursuant to the four subdivisions of the United States
6 Constitution, federal and/or state law of Arizona, including treaties entered into by the
7 United States there is a duty imposed on said Defendant and the judicial system to
8 counter fraud and any other illegal activities disclosed by Plaintiff Schlund affecting his
9 personal rights, privileges and amenities as a citizen of the United States and in the
10 capacity of a whistle blower and a political witness and shall not be subject to electronic
11 invasion of his privacy or electronic torture through any medium of any nature and kind
12 whatsoever.
13
14
15

16 8. Plaintiff Schlund alleges, on information and belief, that it is the legal duty
17 imposed upon said Defendant to protect Plaintiff Schlund against harm personally and
18 to his financial interest related to Defendants' use of the various financial institutions
19 and infrastructure within the government, including but not limited to, the federal and/or
20 state judicial system.
21
22

23 9. Defendants possess additional duties and authority that have been
24 conferred upon him by it by the U.S. Constitution said federal and state law for the
25 protection of political witnesses such as Plaintiff Schlund, by virtue of said federal/state
26 law, including but not limited to the following:
27
28

1 (a) The duty and the authority to regulate interstate, financial and
2 foreign commerce;
3

4 (b) The duty and authority to regulate and set rules to combat
5 organized crime and activities which include drug manufacturing, drug laundering,
6 transferring and bartering the aforesaid with weapons or visa versa or to combat money
7 laundering;
8

9 (c) The duty and authority to prescribe regulations for the related
10 actions to combat money laundering, fixing of Presidential elections which affect
11 national security of the United States of America and its citizens, such as Plaintiff
12 Schlund, eliminate and prevent court corruption by judges using their powers and
13 authority in a corrupt and illegal manner. Obstructing justice and witness tampering for
14 the purpose of protecting Defendant Bush, the Bush family and other imprivy with the
15 aforesaid;
16
17
18

19 (d) The duty and authority to regulate the safety, security and privacy
20 of the telecommunications and utilities airway to prevent the invasion of privacy of said
21 Plaintiff and those acting in concert with them;
22

23 (e) The duty and authority to regulate and take action to protect against
24 breaches of United States of America and the State of Arizona customs, territory or
25 border for the purposes of violations of the law;
26
27
28

1 (f) The duty and authority to regulate Presidential elections in such a
2 manner to prevent fixing of Presidential elections and other corrupt activity affecting
3 national security of the citizens, including Plaintiff Schlund, of the Defendant U.S.A.;

5 (g) To promote throughout the Defendant U.S.A. a harmonious,
6 balanced, sustainable development of economic activities and economy and to protect
7 and promote the economic well being of its citizens, including Plaintiff Schlund;

9 (h) The United States of America has the general duty and the
10 authority to act and eliminate any harm to itself or to the general public relating to any
11 activities of the Defendant which violate inalienable and fundamental Constitutional
12 Rights, federal law or law of the State of Arizona designed to protect its citizens,
13 including Plaintiff Schlund;

16 (i) Within the areas of their competency and jurisdiction, Defendant
17 United States of America through its federal judicial system and state counterparts have
18 a legal duty and responsibility as governmental entities to provide for Plaintiff Schlund to
19 exercise his procedural and substantive due process right to have complete access,
20 objectiveness, impartiality and fairness by and through the judicial system and protect
21 his use of the judicial system without the court engaging in or giving the appearance of
22 engaging in corruption, unfairness, lack of objectivity or impartiality, governmental
23 favoritism all in a manner equating to or giving the appearance of obstruction of justice
24 and witness tampering for the purpose of protecting Defendant Bush, the Bush family
25 and those under their supervision, influence, direction and control. Also, to prevent the

1 interference, obstruction or loss of Plaintiff Schlund's right to a jury trial on ultimate facts
2 and specific facts supported by reasonable evidence and protection of his rights of due
3 process and equal protection through such access and use of the judicial system as a
4 political witness to the fixing of the Presidential election which placed Defendant Bush in
5 the Office of Presidency of Defendant U.S.A.
6
7

8 **D. PLAINTIFF SCHLUND'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS:**
9

10 10. Plaintiff Schlund makes the following allegations on his information and
11 belief and on those grounds, George Bush, Sr. ("Bush Sr.") who in 1976 was the
12 Director of the Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA"). George Bush Sr. as the Director of
13 the CIA in 1976, under the orders of Nelson Rockefeller, removed from the government
14 all of the evidence of corruption, wrongdoing, crimes and criminal acts. All of these files
15 and documents sometimes referred to as "evidence" were removed from the
16 government to conceal the information to prevent Jimmy Carter and those he would
17 appoint to public office from accessing the information. This act by Bush Sr. constitutes
18 an act of treason. The evidence contained various files, papers, documents, data,
19 films, tape recordings, maps, log books and other tangible items and things which were
20 moved to be under Bush Sr.'s possession and control as he was ordered by Nelson
21 Rockefeller. The said evidence and its contents are generally referred to as the "Don
22 Bolles Papers", unless denoted otherwise. The Don Bolles Papers were placed into the
23 possession of Evelyn Thompson, Plaintiff Schlund and others after they were stolen by
24 a professional thief, who had been recently released from prison and broke into a safe
25 in a hotel located on North Central Avenue in Phoenix, Arizona. The thief believed this
26 large walk-in (vault) safe contained large sums of money because it was so well
27
28

1 guarded. Unfortunately for him, the cash bounty was not what was anticipated but the
2 Don Bolles Papers were contained therein. This information came directly from the thief
3 and was conveyed by him directly to Evelyn Thompson and Plaintiff Schlund. Evelyn
4 Thompson actually received the Don Bolles Papers from the thief. Plaintiff Schlund no
5 longer recalls the thief's name because of lapse of time, sleep deprivation, torture and
6 other criminal acts committed against Plaintiff Schlund by the government to destroy
7 Plaintiff as a political
8 witness.

10
11 11. Plaintiff alleges the CIA and other files Plaintiff Schlund has always
12 called the Don Bolles Papers contained massive amounts of paperwork, films, tapes,
13 photos, maps and other related tangible items and things much of which were
14 authenticated Defendant U.S.A. documents related to illegal, immoral, criminal, private,
15 corporate and government covert operations, conducted under the highest level of
16 control of the CIA, DEA, NSA, Justice Department, Federal Courts and many others.
17 These are the documents Plaintiff Schlund calls the Don Bolles Papers and it is obvious
18 to Plaintiff Schlund that these papers contained the highest levels of
19 private/governmental planning regarding the systematic overthrow (private control) of
20 the United States of
21 America.

22
23
24 12. Plaintiff Schlund alleges on information and belief and on those grounds
25 that the Don Bolles Papers which included the above said files, documents and other
26 tangible things were removed by Bush Sr. from the Defendant U.S.A. Government for
27 the purpose of concealing the information from President-Elect Jimmy Carter and those
28 who President Carter would appoint to the different government agencies or to other

1 public offices under his executive command. This was done by the Director of the CIA,
2 Bush Sr., while the CIA was under his direction, influence and control.

3 13. Plaintiff alleges the Don Bolles Papers were then moved to Phoenix,
4 Arizona by the CIA to one of the drug cartels that the CIA influenced, operated and
5 controlled known as the Dirty Dozen Motorcycle Gang ("DD") which was a gang
6 comprised of different organizational levels who had individuals involved at many levels
7 within the government in the State of Arizona. The DD was operated and controlled by
8 the CIA and was and is a drug cartel under the CIA's and DEA's influence and
9 control.
10

11 14. Plaintiff alleges the DD was comprised of prospects, murderers,
12 prostitutes, thieves, bikers, informants, private investigators, business owners, police
13 officers, judges, federal agents, governors, senators, congressmen, and
14 others.
15
16

17 15. Plaintiff alleges the Don Bolles files and papers were then taken from
18 the DD, actually the CIA, in a burglary resulting in the CIA losing control of these highly
19 sensitive documents, plans and other criminal
20 evidence.
21

22 16. Plaintiff alleges on or about 1977, Plaintiff Schlund was one of the
23 individuals of a group of people who received the Don Bolles Papers and files who
24 along with these other individuals began to read and analyze them.
25

26 17. Further, Plaintiff alleges that the content of the Don Bolles Papers
27 included CIA files, documentation and other data which revealed a plan for the
28 systematic overthrow of the U.S.A. using the CIA, Drug Enforcement Administration

1 ("DEA") and the judicial system and its courts and others under the color of law under
2 the protection and direction of the Rockefeller family and Bush family which included
3 Bush Sr. and George W. Bush, the Department of Justice ("DOJ"), certain members of
4 congress and the senate and other positions inside and outside the government. It was
5 clearly indicated in the Bolles papers that key positions were being obtained through the
6 method of assassinations and the fixing of presidential and other elections and by
7 political appointment to achieve the CIA's goal, of taking over and controlling key
8 positions in the government and private sector.

11 18. Plaintiff asserts these files detailed George Bush Sr. and the CIA's
12 bugging of the White House, Camp David, Jimmy Carter's home and anyone else that
13 could stand in their way or could become a threat to their empire at some time in the
14 future. The bugging of the White House was necessary to control and direct Jimmy
15 Carter's presidency.

17 19. Plaintiff asserts in these CIA and other files that George Bush Sr. illegally
18 took from the government, were CIA drawings of the White House revealing that one of
19 the rooms drops one mile down underground during times of war to protect the
20 president and his staff. Then the president transfers over to another elevator and would
21 be taken three more miles down underground to the shelter to protect him from an
22 enemy attack.

24 20. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges that this massive underground complex
25 extends in all directions to many different other complexes like the one under the
26 Pentagon or the one for congress and others. After Plaintiff had briefed the Federal
27 Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") of these facilities and after the end of the cold war, some
28 of these complexes then became public.

1 21. Through this underground complex and by other ways, the CIA monitored
2 [everyone] in the government from Congress to the President and even the justices of
3 the Supreme Court of the United States of America.

4 As Plaintiff Schlund has consistently said and alleged, the electronic surveillance
5 devices are, in fact, commonplace, so much so, that even the Honorable Justice
6 Douglas of the United States Supreme Court admitted in the published opinion of
7 Heutsche v. U.S., 414 U.S. 989 (1973) that,

8 “ We who live in the District of Columbia know that
9 electronic surveillance is commonplace. I am indeed
10 morally certain that the Conference Room of this Court
11 has been “bugged”; and President Johnson during his
12 term in the White House asserted to me that even his
13 phone was tapped..... The daily news brings fresh
14 evidence to make a reality of Chief Justice Warren’s
15 warnings that the fantastic advances in the field of
16 electronic communication constitute a great danger to the
privacy of individuals....” Lopez v. United States, 373 U.S.
427, 441 at p. 462 (1963) (Chief Justice Warren,
concurring).

17 22. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”) that
18 the bugging devices in the White House were integrated into the telephone lines and
19 were invisible to the human naked eye. The telephone wires looked like they were just
20 copper telephone wires. Integrated into this wire were molecular bugging devices and
21 computers that would monitor and collect what was said and then code and compress
22 this information into the monitoring clicks on the telephone line and in these clicks
23 transmit everything to the CIA in their underground complex. No one without the
24 required super computers and the required codes would be able to detect or decode
25 any information from these bugging devices or what they transmitted down the
26 telephone lines. Wires had to be used for the bugging to stop government scans from
27
28

1 being able to pick up radio transmissions. This insured that the CIA would be
2 undetected in their monitoring of the president and the rest of the government.

3 23. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that at Camp David, the bugging devices were
4 built into the wiring of the new security system and at Jimmy Carter's home the bugging
5 devices were in the telephone wiring.
6

7 Further that, in the Don Bolles Papers were the files on the bugging of everyone
8 that the CIA, Rockefeller's, Bush family or others considered a threat to their plans.
9 Many of these files were old and other people had just come under investigation by the
10 government.
11

12 24. Plaintiff Schlund alleges the following is a short list of names of people
13 from the government files who were categorized as a threat to the secret government.
14 These American citizens had been kept under constant surveillance by the Office of
15 Secret Services ("OSS"), CIA, DEA and others because they were considered enemies
16 of the state for their political, religious, moral or other legally permissible beliefs:
17

- | | | |
|----|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 18 | | |
| 19 | 1. Martin Luther King | 18. Gary Hart |
| 20 | 2. Charles Lindbergh | 19. John Z. DeLorian |
| 21 | 3. Charlie Chaplin | 20. Roman Polanski |
| 22 | 4. Groucho Marx | 21. Raul Castro |
| 23 | 5. Brett Kimberlin | 22. Cassius Clay |
| 24 | 6. Elizabeth Montgomery | 23. Annette Funicello |
| 25 | 7. Audrey Hepburn | 24. Doris Day |
| 26 | 8. John Lennon | 25. Morris Udall |
| 27 | 9. Elizabeth Taylor | 26. Jerry Brown |
| 28 | 10. Karen Carpenter | 27. Shirley Temple-Black |
| | 11. Cher Bono | 28. Ricky Nelson |
| | 12. Frank Wills | 29. Barbra Streisand |
| | 13. Jacqueline Kennedy | 30. John Paul Getty |
| | 14. Edward M. Kennedy | 31. Terry Goddard |
| | 15. Jimmy Carter | 32. Hugh Hefner |
| | 16. Larry Flint | 33. (reserved) |
| | 17. Audie Murphy | |

1
2 25. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in the CIA and other files he had were the
3 files on thousands of innocent people that had been or were being kept under constant
4 investigation so they could be directed, controlled, manipulated, discredited or
5 assassinated if it became necessary. These created and fabricated investigations were
6 authorized by the surveillance court and other courts and conducted under the cover of
7 authority and warrant. Some of the warrants were issued on the claims they were
8 protecting these people from terrorist or kidnappers and other warrants were issued
9 after framing them as being involved in drugs or for other alleged criminal activity. In
10 truth, none of these people were guilty of anything other than being good honest
11 Americans with political beliefs different than the secret government that secretly runs
12 parts of the government.
13
14

15 26. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges that in these same files Plaintiff Schlund
16 read all the files of all the CIA operatives that were or would be involved in the
17 systematic overthrow of America by the CIA.

18 27. Further, Plaintiff Schlund alleges the following is a very short list of the
19 files or names of some of the CIA associates or other agents involved in the overthrow
20 of America. Some belonged to different groups or organizations that the CIA ran like
21 the Aryan Brotherhood, Crypts, Bloods, Dirty Dozen, Masons, Italian Mob, Jewish Mob,
22 Irish Mob, Mexican Mob and many other secret societies, drug cartels, political actions,
23 committees and many other kinds of organizations.
24

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| 25 | 1. Nelson Rockefeller | 18. Arnold Schwarzenegger |
| 26 | 2. Spiro T. Agnew | 19. Richard M. Romley |
| 27 | 3. David Rockefeller | 20. Gerald R. Ford |
| 28 | 4. Ronald Reagan | 21. Ted Koppel |
| | 5. Charlton Heston | 22. G. Gordon Liddy |
| | 6. Ralph Nader | 23. John Poindexter |

- | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 7. Pat Robertson | 24. Colin L. Powell |
| 8. Patrick Buchanan | 25. Edwin Meese |
| 9. Jerry Falwell | 26. Henry Fonda |
| 10. Malcolm Forbes | 27. Jane Fonda |
| 11. Bruce Babbitt | 28. Abigail Van Buren |
| 12. Ross Perot | 29. Amanda Blake |
| 13. Dr. Robert H. Schuller | 30. Bob Dole |
| 14. Dick Cheney | 31. Joe Arpaio |
| 15. Dan Quayle | 32. Phillip Jordan |
| 16. Richard Nixon | 33. Lyndon Johnson |
| 17. Ann Landers | 34. (reserved) |

28. Plaintiff Schlund alleges the Rockefeller and the Bush families were too important to have files on them. What Plaintiff Schlund did have in the Don Bolles papers were orders, memos, and other papers from Nelson Rockefeller and George Bush Sr. to and from the CIA and others. Some of these orders were to the CIA to direct and train George Bush Sr. sons so they would be prepared to take their rightful places in the CIA or other secret societies that the CIA or others secretly ran or controlled. Plaintiff had orders, which allowed George W. Bush to marry even though it was not an arranged or pre-planned marriage. George Bush Sr. thought this might straighten George W. Bush out by allowing him to marry and take some responsibility. George Bush Sr. had hoped that this would help control George W. Bush's cocaine use. In these files it was customary for the eldest son to take over the power of the family when the time came.

29. Plaintiff Schlund also alleges that in order to prepare George W. Bush for his future positions in these secret societies it would be necessary to get him to except his place as one of the elite and to do this required the emotionless ability to order the killings or assassinations of those that are considered inferior or threatening to the empire. To achieve this George Bush Sr. had some of his men trick George W. Bush

1 into authorizing the killing of a man that George W. Bush despised. After this person
2 was assassinated George W. Bush was surprised and upset at what he unintentionally
3 had a hand in. The next authorization was easier for George W. Bush and the next even
4 more easier.
5

6 30. Further, Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in these CIA and other files George
7 W. Bush would never need to be taught how to physically kill people. All that was
8 required in the political positions he would be appointed or elected to was to give the
9 orders for the wars, killings or assassinations. George W. Bush demonstrated his
10 training well, in this regard, by his signing of the many execution orders in Texas as
11 governor and by making war with Iraq as President of the United States.
12

13 31. Plaintiff Schlund also had George Bush Sr.'s orders to the CIA to get his
14 eldest son to authorize the killing of some women and children because this would be
15 required for him to take his rightful place in these secret societies. This would
16 emotionally condition him to allow him to authorize the killings or executions of men,
17 women or even children as required in the performance of his duties. These killings had
18 not yet taken place. Plaintiff Schlund has no knowledge that they ever took place. These
19 were orders that had not yet been fulfilled at the time Plaintiff Schlund read the Don
20 Bolles Papers.
21

22 32. Plaintiff Schlund alleges on information and belief and on those grounds
23 that in the files that George Bush, Sr., removed from the C.I.A. and the other agencies
24 in 1976 there were thousands of files on political assassinations that had been or would
25 be done in the future under the direction of the C.I.A. Further, some of these
26 assassinations had been carried out years before and others were to be carried out
27 years into the future. The following is a short list of names of people that had been
28

1 assassinated or were to be assassinated by the C.I.A., D.E.A. and/or others that were
2 under the direction and/or control of the C.I.A. This list includes but is not limited to:

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 3 | 1. John F. Kennedy | 18. Rod Serling |
| 4 | 2. Robert F. Kennedy | 19. Charles B. McVay |
| 5 | 3. Marilyn Monroe | 20. Lee Harvey Oswald |
| 6 | 4. Mary Jo Kopechne | 21. James Dean |
| 7 | 5. Martin Luther King | 22. Clark Gable |
| 8 | 6. Ernest Hemingway | 23. Don Bolles |
| 9 | 7. Bruce Lee | 24. Bill Compton |
| 10 | 8. Charles Hardin Holley | 25. Jimmy Hoffa |
| 11 | 9. Ritchie Valens | 26. Harvey Wesley Bolin |
| 12 | 10. Jiles Perry Richardson | 27. John F. Kennedy Jr. |
| 13 | 11. Steve McQueen | 28. John Lennon |
| 14 | 12. Audie Murphy | 29. Ricky Nelson |
| 15 | 13. Frank Olson | 30. Giovanni Battista Montini |
| 16 | 14. Janis Joplin | 31. Francis Gary Powers |
| 17 | 15. Jim Morrison | 32. Sven Olof Joachim Palme |
| 18 | 16. Cass Elliot | 33. Albino Luciani |
| 19 | 17. Bob Crane | 34. Mohammad Reza Pahlavi |
| 20 | | 35. (reserved) |

21 33. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that according to these CIA files George Bush
22 Sr. controlled through the CIA and others the states of Florida, Texas and Arizona.
23 These states were more important to control then other states in order to protect the
24 incoming drug shipments. These states were where the CIA ran their drugs into the
25 United States. The illegal drugs were the common denominator that held the gangs
26 together. These gangs furnished the children, girls and boys for sex for the different
27 corrupt judges and politicians and the drugs authorized many of the investigations
28 against political witnesses and dissidents. The gangs and drugs created the crimes
needed to authorize all the new laws as America became more and more conservative
and more totalitarian. The drugs were the catalyst that held everything together and the
proceeds from the drugs helped finance many of the covert operations like the building
of large expensive churches and the funding of the religious channels on TV and many

1 other illegal covert CIA operations that were part of the systematic overthrow of the
2 United States of America by the CIA. The drugs would be used in part to authorize
3 trials, which would be used to establish new case law which would result in the limiting
4 of Constitutional rights. In these CIA files the American Constitution would remain in
5 tack as a piece of paper to hold up before the people that would in effect have no legal
6 power to protect the people of the United States. The protections of the Constitution
7 would be replaced by judicial made law which would be used by the Defendants to
8 undermine and erode freedom, liberty, justice and constitutional power. Such power
9 would also be undercut by other new laws and procedural policy and rules. Legal
10 rights, civil liberties and other freedoms would no longer apply to the people and
11 politically appointed courts that were more often corrupt then not would decide who got
12 real legal representation. In other words if you were a drug dealer working for the
13 government and you got busted the sentence would be short or the arrest would be
14 ruled illegal and the conviction would be overturned. If you were a political threat that
15 had been set-up the sentence would be long and the court would rule that the arrest
16 was legal and the conviction would stand. The drugs would also be used by the DEA
17 and others to convict the blacks and other non-white minorities of drug related crimes to
18 remove their right to vote.

22 34. Plaintiff further alleges that president George W. Bush and his appointee,
23 the Attorney General of the United States, John Ashcroft, and other law enforcement
24 official(s) under the direction or protection of the United States Justice Department
25 ("D.O.J.") which includes the D.E.A., Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms ("A.T.F.") and
26 others entered into an illegal, criminal conspiracy and joint agreement to execute and
27 carry out a created common scheme which was designed for the corrupt law
28

1 enforcement official(s) and others in Arizona for pecuniary and other gain. This joint
2 agreement included but was not limited to, the Arizona Attorney General's office,
3 Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, Department of Public Safety Phoenix Police
4 Department, Glendale Police Department, Scottsdale Police Department, corrupt
5 federal and state judges, corrupt private investigators, drug cartel members, gang
6 members, Plaintiff Schlund's ex-wife, Kathy Schlund, and others.

8 35. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges on information and belief that the joint
9 and/or separately above named law enforcement organizations and/or other
10 investigative organizations and law enforcement agencies and others entered into an
11 illegal criminal joint agreement and enterprise to frame Plaintiff Schlund as being a
12 mass murderer, drug dealer, drug manufacturer, drug smuggler, gun runner, thief,
13 money launderer, traitor, tax evader, liar, and for other untruths and/or crimes which he
14 did not commit and was not involved in. This joint agreement and enterprise between
15 said Defendants as aforesaid against Plaintiff Schlund was carried out to discredit
16 Plaintiff Schlund to protect the Bush family, the C.I.A., D.E.A., law enforcement and
17 officers and others from exposure for the crimes they had committed which included but
18 were not limited to the intentional and systematic fixing of the presidential elections of
19 the United States.

22 36. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that he is not guilty of any crime. Further, that all
23 of the above denoted crimes were created, generated, fabricated, and/or committed by
24 the government and Does 1-10, to frame Plaintiff Schlund in an attempt to cover up the
25 government's illegal, evil, unethical and criminal activities that were being committed
26 under the color, authorization and cover of law and using the aforesaid as a pretext to
27
28

1 camouflage the illegal and unlawful activities by the radical and uncivilized individuals
2 committing these crimes which were then ratified by the government.

3 37. Further, on information and belief Plaintiff Schlund alleges that this joint
4 effort and agreement was calculated, conducted and controlled to cover up George
5 Bush, Sr., George W. Bush and others illegal and systematic plans to interfere with and
6 fix the presidential elections of the United States of America for the purpose of
7 influencing and controlling the outcome of the presidential elections of the United States
8 of America and to cover up other federal and constitutional crimes which fit within the
9 statutory categories of high crimes and misdemeanors.

10
11
12 38. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges that the framing of Plaintiff Schlund was
13 for the political purpose of justifying the need for a criminal investigation which are not
14 normally the type utilized to justify perpetual investigations and perpetual surveillance
15 violating personal rights to privacy of Plaintiff Schlund and others which associate with
16 him under their Constitutional Right of Freedom of Association. Further, Plaintiff alleges
17 that said investigations also serve the primary purpose to keep Plaintiff Schlund under
18 perpetual surveillance, to administratively target him and torture Plaintiff Schlund as a
19 political witness who can testify against Defendant for murder, drug dealing, treason
20 and other high crimes and misdemeanors. Those under the government's influence
21 and control which included, but not limited to, the various agencies, person(s) and
22 organizations which had to place Plaintiff Schlund under constant and continual
23 investigation which such investigations, surveillance and torture were ordered and/or
24 ratified by corrupt, dishonest, paranoid, unethical and evil judges who are liars and
25 corrupt, who issue judicial decisions under the pretext of law. They knew there was
26 substantial and material evidence to the contrary justifying allowing the matter to be
27
28

1 tried on its merits by a jury of his peers and in satisfaction of Plaintiff Schlund's
2 constitutional rights to a jury trial.

3 39. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges on information and belief that the
4 investigations were then used to plant the needed evidence or the type of evidence
5 which had a proven history of use by said Defendants to frame individuals like Plaintiff
6 Schlund and Plaintiff Schlund and Plaintiff Schlund's witnesses. This was in fact done.
7 Plaintiff alleges it was also done to set the stage to make deals with witnesses that
8 would lie which were needed to make false conversations and/or commit perjury against
9 Plaintiff Schlund to reinforce the use of the false and fabricated evidence against him.
10 This was also done. Despite this was done and continues to be done to this day,
11 Plaintiff Schlund was acquitted on all charges, including alleged drug conspiracy
12 charges and alleged conspiracy drug manufacturing charges which were specifically
13 determined to be nothing more than an act of fraud and violations of the law by
14 government officials and their agents. Despite the acquittal, Plaintiff Schlund alleges
15 that warrants were obtained and have continued to be obtained since the day of his
16 acquittal to be used as a pretext for perpetual investigations and surveillance of him and
17 those he associates with, including his attorneys. These warrants are also used to
18 justify the pretextual surveillance and investigations under color of authority and office.
19 And, to torture Plaintiff Schlund in an attempt to deter his ongoing disclosure of the
20 illegal acts and conduct of the government and the Defendants individually, including
21 President Bush. This is done to intimidate Plaintiff Schlund as a political witness who
22 has advised the F.B.I. of the activities by the Defendants, including the C.I.A. and
23 D.E.A., which, in each instance, over the years has materialized including the recent
24 demonstration of the fixing of the presidential election under color of authority and while

1 using the judicial system and the corrupt judges on the highest levels to fix the
2 presidential election putting George W. Bush in office as the President of the United
3 States. The factual reality of the fixing of the presidential election at any cost to insure
4 George W. Bush was placed in office as the President now remains historically
5 undisputable by those with a clear understanding of the facts and a clear, objective and
6 rational mind. Further, the bogus warrants, although appearing to be procedurally valid,
7 were actually illegal in substance and illegally used to obstruct and otherwise interfere
8 with justice to tamper with Plaintiff Schlund as a political witness. They were also
9 issued and used to threaten and intimidate Plaintiff Schlund's witnesses, associates,
10 friends, attorneys, family members and others with threats to execute them and/or their
11 children.
12

13
14 40. Plaintiff Schlund alleges, on information and belief, he has been and will
15 continue to be kept under perpetual surveillance and/or tortured 24 hours a day, seven
16 days a week, year after year as Defendants, C.I.A. D.E.A. and their agents and others
17 working in a joint effort and a common scheme, plan and design to achieve the joint
18 effort and benefit of said scheme while utilizing the corrupt judges and the influences of
19 the Attorney General Office and its attorney against Plaintiff Schlund as a political
20 witness and those who associate with him. Plaintiff alleges he will be continuously,
21 selectively and administratively prosecuted with the procedure of the warrant process
22 whereby as each warrant becomes invalid, new illegal, perpetual surveillance and
23 torture under color of law based on new investigations will continue with and without
24 warrant on new persons, attorneys, etc. Plaintiff Schlund randomly meets and discusses
25 the facts of the case with – Perpetual Electronic Standard Surveillance "P.E.S.S.").¹ In
26
27
28

¹ P.E.S.S. was not in the Bolles Papers.

1 this regard Plaintiff Schlund further alleges that as the perpetual torture which increases
2 dramatically on days putting Plaintiff Schlund close to death during such times he has
3 agreed under torture to say things or make false conversations or false telephonic calls
4 in exchange for relief from the torture or in exchange for sleep. Plaintiff alleges the
5 above said Defendants as part of the common scheme and joint agreement and effort
6 utilized the common aspects of sleep deprivation as a form of torture of Plaintiff Schlund
7 and upon the above said witness and those that associate with him. Especially, the use
8 of off-recorded death threats against the selective person targeted for the threat of their
9 children and other family members and such was the case with Plaintiff Schlund, his
10 witnesses and others as well. These facts remain undisputed and have been
11 substantiated during the Schlund trial where he was acquitted of all fraudulent criminal
12 charges brought by said Defendants. In fact, Plaintiff alleges that sometimes these
13 agreements were made after Plaintiff Schlund was intentionally deprived of necessary
14 sleep for up to six days at a time and then allowing Plaintiff Schlund only a few hours of
15 sleep and then repeating the torture plan and scheme weekly for years. The sleep
16 deprivation pattern as aforesaid has ultimately resulted at times in Plaintiff Schlund's
17 body physiologically collapsing which remains undisputed by the many witnesses to
18 these facts. Plaintiff has also become a diabetic which has been directly linked to the
19 activities of Defendants as set forth in this Complaint. His diabetes has resulted from
20 thousands of nights of sleep deprivation by the acts and conduct of the government and
21 through other quasi-government related person(s) using electronic implants to
22 intentionally interfere and stop Plaintiff Schlund from sleeping, exasperating his diabetic
23 condition.

24
25
26
27
28
41. On information and belief and on those grounds, Plaintiff Schlund

1 alleges that the CIA plans in the Bolles Papers were simple for the overthrow of
2 America. The overthrow would be done under the color and cover of law and by covertly
3 influencing the voters until the CIA had enough votes to place their people in the
4 needed key positions. Individuals who were threats that could not be successfully
5 attacked and removed like John F. Kennedy Jr. would be assassinated like the CIA had
6 assassinated his uncle, Robert F. Kennedy and his father, John F. Kennedy. In 1992,
7 Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI when the CIA planned on assassinating John F.
8 Kennedy Jr. He then died during the time frame that Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI
9 on. CIA designed and NSA manufactured Electronic Implants can deprive targeted
10 people of their ability to fly a plane or drive a car or do any other things that would be
11 normally within their abilities. Plaintiff Schlund read the CIA files on the injection of the
12 Kennedy family with such devices authorized by the surveillance court to protect them
13 from kidnapers or terrorists. The Kennedy family had no knowledge of the use of the
14 devices that had been placed in or on their bodies. Plaintiff Schlund also has allegedly
15 read how the secret surveillance court would lie and deny their crime. Plaintiff Schlund
16 has no knowledge that this is what happened and Plaintiff Schlund only briefed the FBI
17 of the bugging of the Kennedy family and the plans to assassinate John F. Kennedy Jr.
18 so he could never run for president of the United States.

22 42. Plaintiff Schlund alleges the CIA would run candidates for third and fourth
23 political parties to split the vote as needed to assure that their candidates got elected.
24 Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI of this in 1992.

26 43. Further, Plaintiff Schlund alleges the CIA would use their TV stations,
27 radio stations, newspapers and magazines to attack any opposing candidates and to
28 support their candidates. Plaintiff alleges they had all the CIA files on all the TV stations,

1 radio stations, newspapers, magazines and other organizations that they covertly
2 owned, influenced or controlled. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI on this in 1992.

3 44. Plaintiff Schlund also alleges that in 1991 after Plaintiff Schlund
4 understood what was happening and how he was being controlled, used and set up by
5 the CIA, DEA and others, Plaintiff Schlund went to the FBI and asked them to monitor
6 him. Plaintiff Schlund asked the FBI to monitor him without need of warrant. Plaintiff
7 was only able to do this because he was already under surveillance from the FBI in their
8 attempt at obtaining what information he had on other related crimes.

9 45. Plaintiff Schlund asserts that he knew that if he told the FBI what he really
10 knew that they would think he was crazy and Plaintiff knew that they were bugged just
11 like other law enforcement was. Plaintiff also alleges he knew that he had to give the
12 FBI all the information in a way that they could not be accused of interfering in the
13 presidential elections of the United States. Plaintiff Schlund knew from reading the CIA
14 and other files that the FBI was not a political organization like the CIA and DEA were.
15 The FBI was a law enforcement agency that had been infiltrated by the CIA with many
16 of the FBI's people still being loyal to the American People and the American
17 Constitution.

18 46. Plaintiff alleges that to do the above said he decided it would be best to
19 set up everything and prove that it was true before he told the FBI what this is about. To
20 do this, Plaintiff offered to allow the FBI without a warrant to monitor him in whatever
21 way they felt was necessary as long as they did not torture him, make him sick or
22 interfere with his sleep using the bugging devices.

23 47. In 1991 and 1992, Plaintiff offered to the FBI to be the bait for them to set
24 up the DEA. He would set up the DEA for the FBI to prove that the DEA was in reality a

1 covert operation of the CIA. This would allow the FBI to then tell who the corrupt agents
2 were from the non-corrupt by monitoring those that would attack Plaintiff. Plaintiff told
3 the FBI that he would not sell drugs, he would not hurt people and he would not steal
4 and that those attacking him would be corrupt and would plant everything needed to
5 frame Plaintiff. Further, that all the FBI had to do was watch and learn how political
6 witnesses are systematically removed under the cover and color of law. Plaintiff agreed
7 to set up everything that the FBI needed to learn so they could understand how America
8 was being systematically overthrown by the CIA and others under their direction and
9 control.
10

11
12 48. Plaintiff briefed the FBI that the CIA and DEA had to remove him as a
13 political witness at any cost and would do everything and anything required to remove
14 Plaintiff as a political witness.

15 49. Plaintiff then proceeded to accomplish his job setting up the CIA, DEA
16 and others. Plaintiff informed the FBI that he would not accept any money from them
17 and that he did not want to be in any witness protection programs which are not any
18 safer then walking the street. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI that those attacking him
19 are cowards and that he does not fear them. Such attackers only murder, rape and
20 torture under the color and cover of law and they are traders to the American People
21 and the American Constitution. They are cowards working for the government. They
22 have joined these protected secret organizations because they are cowards only able to
23 function under the protection of law under false pretenses using fantastic National
24 Security Agency ("NSA") and CIA technologies.
25

26
27 50. Further, as Plaintiff Schlund alleges, he set up the corrupt federal and
28 state judges and DEA and other agents for the FBI. While setting up the corrupt people

1 in the government, Plaintiff briefed the FBI on the information Plaintiff had including the
2 plans for the systematic overthrow of the United States by the CIA, DEA and others.

3 51. As Plaintiff would brief the FBI and others, the CIA, DEA and others
4 would use those that secretly worked for the CIA in the DEA, FBI and in other agencies
5 under the CIA's control to attack Plaintiff and try to assassinate him. During this entire
6 time the [legitimate] and [good] agents of the FBI, IRS and other agencies, law
7 enforcement and judges have defended Plaintiff and protected him stopping many
8 assassination attempts.
9

10 52. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that under the United States Supreme Court
11 decision of **Clinton v. Jones**, 520 U.S. 681 (1997), the Supreme Court held that the
12

13 "President of the United States of America, like other officials, is
14 subject to the same laws that apply to all citizens of the United
15 States of America. No one is above the law."

16 Plaintiff Schlund alleges that the Defendant(s)' status, rank in the chain of command or
17 time in office or grade does not exempt him from the law or place him above the reach
18 of the law if he violates an individual's rights, his oath of office or scope of limited
19 power/authority under color of law – he shall be held accountable. Plaintiff Schlund's
20 case is provable in trial before a fair and honest tribunal while the Clinton v. Jones case
21 was not. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI that the Bush Family is above all laws of the
22 United States and is protected by corrupt judges of the court.
23

24 **THE FOLLOWING IS A SMALL PART OF THE INFORMATION THAT PLAINTIFF**
25 **SCHLUND WAS SUPPLYING TO THE FBI AND OTHER AGENCIES.**

26 53. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in 1992, after testing the FBI and assuring it
27 would act honorably to enforce the American Constitution and the laws of America,
28 Plaintiff Schlund began to supply them with Nelson Rockefeller's and George Bush Sr.

1 plans to retake the presidency back from Jimmy Carter. In 1992 Plaintiff Schlund began
2 to supply the FBI with the CIA plans to fix all future presidential elections of the United
3 States. Plaintiff Schlund had called the FBI in 1977 and at other later dates in the 1980's
4 with this same information. It appears that these telephone calls were intercepted by
5 the DEA impersonating the FBI. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that the following is from the
6 CIA files Plaintiff read and is the information he was briefing the FBI on.

8 54. Some of the following information is how the Rockefeller family, Bush
9 family and the CIA, DEA and others planned on ensuring they would win in all future
10 presidential elections.

12 55. George Bush Sr. and the CIA under the directions of Nelson and David
13 Rockefeller had planned on using third and fourth parties to split the vote when it
14 became necessary to do so to win the presidential elections of the United States.
15 Starting in 1992, Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI on the CIA files of the people that
16 would run these political parties for the CIA. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI that he had
17 read Ross Perot's CIA file and that Ross Perot worked for the Bush family and the CIA
18 and was in the presidential election to split the vote. Plaintiff Schlund believes he
19 stopped this and caused Ross Perot to drop out of the race by hand delivering a letter to
20 the FBI in New Orleans, Louisiana. Plaintiff Schlund believes that the letter was dated
21 June 24, 1992. Soon after, Ross Perot dropped out of the presidential race. Plaintiff
22 Schlund believes that Ross Perot's paranoia then prevented him from fulfilling his job of
23 splitting the vote and fixing the presidential election of the United States. This resulted in
24 the people electing William Clinton to the presidency of the United States.

27 56. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges that in 1992 Plaintiff briefed the FBI on
28 Ralph Nader's CIA file and how Ralph Nader worked for the CIA and had broken up

1 AT&T for the CIA. In the CIA files Plaintiff had AT&T was a publicly owned corporation
2 controlled by the Rockefeller family and the CIA. The break-up of AT&T was done to
3 hide the profits and to create new corporations that could advertise against each other
4 to fund the CIA's and Rockefeller families covertly owned or controlled TV stations,
5 radio stations, magazines, newspapers and other media businesses and corporations.
6 Ralph Nader also runs the Consumer Advocacy and Information Group which was used
7 to protect the Rockefeller Empire while attacking competing unprotected
8 corporations.
9

10 57. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in 1992, he briefed the FBI that he also read
11 the CIA file on Pat Buchanan and that he also worked for the CIA, Rockefeller and Bush
12 families.
13

14 58. In 1992, Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI on Ronald Reagan's CIA file and
15 how he had been selected to be the actor to play the part of the President of the United
16 States of America for the Director of the CIA George Bush Sr., in the systematic
17 overthrow of the United States by the CIA. Plaintiff Schlund also briefed the FBI on how
18 Ronald Reagan had ran California for the CIA as governor and how he had worked for
19 the Office of Special Services ("OSS") and later the CIA throughout his life. Ronald
20 Reagan was an FBI informant for the CIA so he could feed the FBI incorrect information
21 along with real information that the FBI would know was true. The CIA always does it
22 this way to make their operatives believable when they are really only supplying false
23 unverifiable facts to covertly miss direct those that could be of a threat to them.
24

25 59. In 1992, Plaintiff Schlund alleges he briefed the FBI on Bruce Babbitt's CIA
26 file. This included how Babbitt worked for George Bush, Sr. and the CIA and had run
27 the drug cartel known as the Dirty Dozen for George Bush, Sr. This included information
28

1 on when he was Attorney General of Arizona and Governor of Arizona for the CIA.
2 Plaintiff Schlund strongly protested his appointment by President Clinton to the FBI.

3 60. In 1992, Plaintiff Schlund also alleges he briefed the FBI on Bob Dole's
4 CIA file and how the CIA would not run him for president unless something went wrong
5 and no one else was in position to run. Plaintiff also briefed the FBI that the CIA had
6 concluded that Bob Dole would not be electable and he would not be elected if he ran.
7 Further, Plaintiff briefed the FBI on Dole's affair and how it was too public to cover up
8 and how later he married his wife, who also worked for the CIA, and how she would run
9 the American Red Cross for the CIA.
10

11 61. In 1992, Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI that he had read the papers of
12 the entire Bush family and that the power always passes to the eldest son. Plaintiff also
13 briefed the FBI that the three main drug cartels that the Bush family ran through the
14 CIA, DEA and others were Arizona, Florida and Texas and that these states were under
15 their control. Plaintiff later confirmed that George W. Bush had a serious drug problem
16 with cocaine. Plaintiff Schlund also briefed the FBI that all witnesses against the Bush
17 family are electronically tortured and murdered or forced under torture to commit
18 suicide. As we all know, the person that wrote the book the Fortunate Son and exposed
19 George W. Bush's drug use recently committed suicide.
20

21 62. Plaintiff also alleges that in 1992 and forward, Plaintiff Schlund briefed the
22 FBI that he also had read Dan Quayle's CIA file and how Quayle's family ran
23 newspapers for the Rockefeller family and the CIA. Plaintiff Schlund also briefed the
24 FBI of Dan Quayle's drug use and later of how the newspaper reporter Don Bolles was
25 assassinated with a car bomb to cover up his investigation into Dan Quayle's drug use.
26 This was not the only reason that Don Bolles was assassinated. In the CIA files Plaintiff
27
28

1 had were the orders for the assassination of Don Bolles. They had come from George
2 Bush Sr. at the CIA down through the DEA to Bruce Babbitt then to Kemper Marley who
3 at the time was Arizona's only billionaire. From Kemper Marley the orders went to the
4 Dirty Dozen who then set up Adamson to be the "fall guy". These kinds of political
5 assassinations always have to be solved and someone is always set up to be the "fall
6 guy".

8 63. Further, Plaintiff alleges, that in 1992 Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI on
9 Pat Robertson's CIA file. Pat Robertson and his TV programming and news were
10 designed and planned by the CIA and were in part funded with drug proceeds and other
11 stolen or misappropriated moneys. Plaintiff briefed the FBI on how Pat Robertson liked
12 the young girls too much in his CIA file. George Bush, Sr. believed that this could
13 damage his credibility as a TV evangelist so George Bush, Sr. had the CIA make some
14 new pills to look like the pills Pat Robertson took each morning. These new pills would
15 curtail his sex life during the day and would wear off by night so Pat Robertson could
16 have a normal sex life after work but no sex during work. Pat Robertson covertly told
17 Christians who God's presidential candidate was which was used to influence the
18 presidential elections of the United States. In the CIA files Plaintiff had Pat Robertson
19 was to convince the American people that in the Ten Commandments thou shall not kill
20 really means thou shall not commit murder. This would allow those that worked for the
21 CIA to kill under the protection of God like when George W. Bush signed execution
22 orders as governor of Texas. These acts by the CIA amounted to treason.

26 64. Further, Plaintiff alleges that in 1992 he briefed the FBI on Jerry Farewell's
27 CIA file. He also worked for the CIA and his ministry was not really what it appeared to
28 be. He was not moral or the majority. Later the IRS went after his tax exempt status

1 because he was really just CIA and the Christian Coalition was really just to control
2 voters and others to direct future public elections and political objectives. Then the
3 Congress went after the IRS to stop the IRS from interfering in George Bush Sr.'s plans
4 for the overthrow of the United States by the CIA.
5

6 65. In 1992 Plaintiff Schlund alleges that he briefed the FBI on Malcolm Forbes
7 CIA file. He was one of many people working with the CIA that used their combined
8 influence to direct the American people. Their goal was to fix the public elections in
9 America by convincing the American people who was moral, Christian and good. The
10 people they presented to the American people as moral and good were really evil and
11 corrupt and they secretly worked for the overthrow of America. In other words they were
12 traders to the American people and the American Constitution.
13

14 66. Plaintiff alleges that in 1992 he briefed the FBI on how the CIA would use
15 the Electoral College to fix the presidential elections if the elections could not be fixed
16 by splitting the vote using a third and fourth political party. The Electoral College would
17 only be used if all other methods failed and was only the way of last resort to put their
18 people in power. I briefed the FBI in great detail of how the Electoral College would be
19 used as a way of fixing the presidential elections. This method of fixing the presidential
20 elections would only be used if splitting the vote using third and forth parties failed to
21 split the vote enough to insure that their candidate would be elected.
22

23 67. Plaintiff alleges that in 1992, at the request of the FBI, Plaintiff Schlund
24 began to supply the FBI with the names of the Supreme Court judges that worked for
25 the CIA and the Bush and Rockefeller families. Plaintiff Schlund supplied the FBI with
26 five United States Supreme Court Justices names from the CIA files Plaintiff Schlund
27 had read and from other information Plaintiff Schlund had and these five judges were
28

1 the five United States Supreme Court Judges that seven or eight years later stopped
2 the recount of the presidential votes and appointed George W. Bush to the presidency
3 of the United States of America. During these briefings to the FBI Plaintiff Schlund
4 briefed the FBI of what was in 3 of these judges CIA files including all the details of their
5 corruption and crimes and why the CIA had picked them to be appointed as Supreme
6 Court Justices on the United States Supreme Court.

8 68. Further, in May or June of 1992 Plaintiff Schlund went to Washington DC to
9 set up the Washington Post for the FBI. While in Washington, DC the CIA, DEA or
10 others controlled Plaintiff Schlund's motel phone lines. Plaintiff Schlund then tried to call
11 the FBI and the CIA, DEA or others intercepted the call with a recording that the FBI's
12 phone lines were not in service. Plaintiff Schlund then called the phone company
13 operator and told her that this was an emergency and that he needed her to dial the FBI
14 for Plaintiff Schlund. This call went through and the FBI answered and immediately sent
15 out a phone truck to switch the phone lines in the telephone company pedestal in front
16 of the motel to stop the CIA's control of Plaintiffs phone lines. The FBI then called back
17 and said to go ahead. Plaintiff Schlund then called the Washington Post to prove to the
18 FBI how the Washington Post working for the CIA had covered up Watergate and how
19 they would be used to cover up the information Plaintiff Schlund was trying to supply the
20 FBI with. Plaintiff Schlund alleges he later also briefed the FBI that the Miami Herald
21 was also one of their newspapers and was under their control and that the Bush family
22 and others controlled what they printed to a large degree. The Miami Herald did the
23 recount confirming the results of the presidential elections in Florida and the
24 Washington Post confirmed the Miami Harold's findings. In other words the CIA covertly
25 confirmed the CIA's findings.

1 69. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that he also briefed the FBI on the new electronic
2 implants that the CIA had designed and were being mass-produced in 1977 by the
3 NSA. These electronic implants could be used to fix ball games, horse races and dog
4 races or to fix presidential or local elections. They were being injected into large
5 numbers of people in investigations and used to monitor and control administratively
6 and selectively targeted people to cover up the massive corruption in the government.
7 They could not make Republicans vote for Democrats and they could not make
8 Democrats vote for Republicans but they could stop them from voting if needed. These
9 electronic devices or implants could also influence who independent voters would vote
10 for. George Bush Sr. and the CIA planned on using these electronic devices whenever
11 possible to corruptly fix future presidential elections of the United States and other
12 countries around the world. The fixing of the American presidential elections with these
13 electronic devices was not only possible but also easy when used in conjunction with
14 other covert operations. George Bush and the CIA had planned on trying to fix all future
15 presidential elections using these electronic devices and implants controlled by super
16 computers and sometimes controlled directly by people. This process was simple and
17 the devices would be used to make the implanted person feel
18 physiologically/psychologically sick anytime they heard the name of the person they
19 were not to vote for and they would make the injected person feel good and have a
20 feeling of great joy and well being anytime the injected person heard who they were
21 suppose to vote for. This did not change the minds of everyone but it did work well
22 enough to alter the elections to in favor of whom the CIA was trying to get elected. A
23 presidential election can be strategically fixed by influencing a very small percentage of
24 the targeted voters. By torturing people on Election Day allowed the CIA to stop some of

1 these people from voting. Plaintiff Schlund has been tortured and stopped from voting
2 on Election Day.

3 70. Plaintiff Schlund alleges he also briefed the FBI in 1992, 1993 and
4 thereafter on George Bush Sr.s', Nelson Rockefeller's and the CIA's plans to put their
5 corrupt people in key positions in the Federal and State courts. This process had
6 already been going on for many years with many of these corrupt judges already being
7 on the bench. These corrupt and evil judges were making their legal rulings based on
8 corruption, corrupt influences, and evil, not on law or the Constitution. Plaintiff Schlund
9 briefed the FBI of how some of these judges would assume their positions which were
10 predesignated in some cases 20 years after being selected for these positions. Plaintiff
11 Schlund alleges he further briefed the FBI that he had read through 100's of files of
12 corrupt federal judges or future corrupt federal judges. Plaintiff Schlund alleges he
13 briefed the FBI that some of these judges were pedophiles and others were murders or
14 drug dealers and others were just evil or corrupt. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI on
15 some of these files and on what he could remember. Each of these files had a list of
16 people that could be possible witnesses against these corrupt and evil judges. In each
17 file was who the CIA, DEA or others was to assassinate and who was to be imprisoned,
18 tortured or given different illnesses or conditions to remove them as potential witnesses.
19 The Bush family could appoint these corrupt and evil people to the federal or state
20 bench pretending that they were Christians and moral people with all the witnesses
21 against them being dead or discredited and in
22 prison.

23 71. Plaintiff Schlund, on information and belief, alleges that Defendant
24 intentionally entered into illegal agreements before becoming President and thereafter
25

1 to violate U.S. Constitutionally protected **right to privacy and remove implants: *Roe***
2 ***vs. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973).*** Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in 1992 and 1993,
3 Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI on George Bush Sr. and Nelson Rockefeller's plans to
4 overturn in part Roe v Wade. The Roe v Wade case was a threat to the empire. The
5 United States Justice Department had briefed George Bush Sr. and Nelson Rockefeller
6 that Roe v Wade could be interpreted as a human rights ruling giving the people the
7 right to their own bodies. If American citizens had a right to their own body's electronic
8 forcibly or covertly installed implants would be illegal. George Bush Sr. and the United
9 States Department of Justice believed that the ruling of Roe v Wade could be
10 successfully used in court to create case law that could make the governments use of
11 electronic implants illegal. George Bush Sr. and Nelson Rockefeller planned on
12 conducting the CIA covert operation of overturning Roe v Wade in part to make a legal
13 exception so the use of covertly installed electronic implants could still be done under
14 the cover and color of law. George Bush Sr. did not want to stop abortion. All he wanted
15 was to make an exception to the ruling so that implants could be legally used against
16 political witnesses and dissidents so corrupt public officials and judges could be
17 protected using the cover of investigations which would use implants to monitor, torture
18 and murder political witnesses. The wording from the Justice Department to George
19 Bush Sr. was approximately as follows. If it is illegal to interfere in the body of a citizen
20 to save the life of an unborn child how can it be legal to inject implants into that same
21 persons body when the ruling of Roe v Wade says that the government has no right
22 interfering in the bodies of its citizens. George Bush Sr. was going to make his
23 campaign against abortion look like he was a Christian fighting for children when in truth
24
25
26
27
28

1 he could have cared less about the life of any child. His only interest was in more power
2 and control over those he would rule over.

3 Plaintiff Schlund alleges that he has a right to be free from intrusion and implants
4 used for torture and/or surveillance, violating his body privacy and other privacy rights
5 within expected orbits and zones of privacy. The government's placing of implants into
6 Plaintiff Schlund's body, as alleged herein, violates his civil rights to privacy under the
7 First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution
8 and the holding of Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973).
9

10 Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that, In order to succeed in George W.
11 Bush's schemes and efforts to overthrow the United States, part of the systematic plan
12 would require the modification or elimination of the United States Supreme Court's
13 decision in Roe v. Wade. To eliminate this decision achieves the result of eliminating
14 the inalienable [right] of a person's [right] to personal privacy and all other connected
15 privacies as well. Such is being accomplished by electronic intrusions at present.
16

17
18 72. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in 1992 he briefed the FBI on George Bush
19 Sr.'s plans for the Gulf War. Plaintiff Schlund read these CIA files in 1977. This war had
20 nothing to do with freedom, liberty or justice or potential threats against the United
21 States. Any weapons of mass destruction Iraq might get or build would be done covertly
22 with the consent and knowledge of the CIA. What this war had to do with was the five
23 trillion dollars in oil that lies under Iraq's surface. The Rockefeller family, Cheney family
24 and the Bush family are oilmen and oil controls the worlds economies. Oil is black gold.
25 In these files the CIA and Rockefeller family owned Saudi Arabia and controlled
26 everything Saudi Arabia did outside its borders. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that he briefed
27 the FBI in great detail about the CIA plans for the Gulf War and how these plans were
28

1 made in the 1970's. When William Clinton got elected he got in the way of the CIA
2 which resulted in delaying the rest of the war until now. Plaintiff Schlund does not like
3 the leader of Iraq any better then he likes George W. Bush. They are both murders and
4 dictators. Iraq will be developed after the war and things will improve for the people of
5 Iraq after the end of the embargo and war. This will not make the Iraqi people free but it
6 will improve their lives as the Bush and Rockefeller families develop and control Iraq's
7 natural resources. This will be done privately and deniably by major international
8 corporations that the Rockefeller Family, Bush Family and others control. The
9 economic development of Iraq after the war will bring prosperity to the people of Iraq.
10 The prosperity will be called freedom. Plaintiff Schlund also alleges that in 1977 he read
11 George Bush Sr. and Nelson Rockefeller's CIA files on the CIA's plans to take over
12 Texaco oil by setting up Texaco oil for a lawsuit which would result in costing Texaco oil
13 billions of dollars in damages. Texaco oil could then be taken over by one of the
14 Rockefeller covertly controlled oil companies. Plaintiff Schlund also alleges that he read
15 many other CIA files on the CIA's, George Bush Sr. and Nelson Rockefeller's plans to
16 covertly control all oil and gas supplies and their distribution. These files included the
17 CIA's plans for the destruction of Blakely Oil in Arizona. Plaintiff Schlund read many
18 such CIA files and plans for the destruction of many people, businesses and
19 corporations that were in the way of or in competition with the Rockefeller's and their
20 secret plans for the control and eventual overthrow of the United States.

21
22
23
24
25 73. In 1992 and 1993, Plaintiff Schlund alleges he further briefed the FBI on
26 the implants that would be injected into the American troops for the Gulf War with their
27 vaccination shots. These implants would allow the CIA to monitor, control and direct the
28 war from anywhere. The troops would get ill from their bodies' auto immune responses

1 to these implants and would suffer from a wide range of symptoms and illnesses and
2 medical conditions. George Bush Sr. knew that the troops would suffer horribly from
3 these injected implants but had decided that the troops were expendable as long as
4 they could still fight in combat. The CIA had concluded that adrenalin would over come
5 the electronic implant caused illnesses in the heat of battle. The implants were designed
6 so that they could not be turned off in case an enemy found out about them. In these
7 files the CIA and George Bush Sr. had not yet decided how long to make the implants
8 transmit. In the CIA files they were talking about the range of 9 to 15 years but some
9 were arguing that the implants should last for the rest of the troop's lives so they could
10 be monitored later in civilian life. In these files George Bush Sr. had assured everyone
11 that he controlled the federal courts and that the courts would not allow anyone to go to
12 trial over the use of the implantable devices and the government would deny their use of
13 the implants under the protection of their corrupt Attorney Generals and their corrupt
14 Federal Judges. Plaintiff Schlund has no knowledge that these devices were used and
15 only read the plans for their use as aforesaid.

19 74. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in about 1992 or 1993 or as soon as Janet
20 Reno was publicly named for possible appointment as Attorney General of the United
21 States, Plaintiff Schlund demanded to the FBI that they go to President William Clinton
22 and tell the president that Plaintiff Schlund said Janet Reno is corrupt and that she
23 should not be appointed to Attorney General of the United States. The FBI delayed
24 briefing President Clinton until after the FBI's investigation was completed which
25 allowed Janet Reno to be appointed as the Attorney General of the United States. Then
26 when President Clinton and the FBI later tried to have the DEA abolished to stop some
27 of the corruption in the government, Janet Reno blocked the FBI and President Clinton
28

1 to protect the corruption and to protect the CIA, DEA, Bush and Rockefeller families.
2 Janet Reno's appointment then allowed the Bush family and the CIA to control the
3 Justice Department continually for decades. After Janet Reno was appointed, she
4 continually conducted investigations into the Democrats which made Janet Reno's firing
5 impossible because the CIA would have made it look similar to when Richard Nixon
6 fired Archibald Cox.
7

8
9
10 75. Plaintiff Schlund also alleges that in 1991, 1992 and 1993, he briefed the
11 FBI that he had read the CIA files on Watergate and other similar CIA covert operations
12 which were all part of the systematic overthrow of the United States by the CIA. Plaintiff
13 Schlund then briefed the FBI that he had read the CIA files on the formation of the DEA
14 and how it was formed as a covert operation of the CIA. The CIA had gotten into a lot
15 of trouble bugging the Democrats at Watergate and if they were caught again the CIA
16 could be destroyed by congress. The bugging of the Democrats at Watergate by the
17 CIA had been done to collect information to be used in the next presidential election to
18 embarrass the Democrat's to fix the election to in favor of the Republican's. To protect
19 the CIA from further embarrassment if they were exposed conducting such illegal covert
20 operations, Nelson Rockefeller ordered Richard Nixon to form the DEA as a covert
21 operation of the CIA. This new Federal agency could conduct investigations using the
22 cover and color of law. Investigations could be done against any American after
23 involving them or framing them as being involved in the drug trade. This new agency
24 would get all the drug investigation records from the FBI allowing them to know
25 everything that the FBI knew. The DEA could then run the drugs as sting operations and
26
27
28

1 could plant evidence and frame anyone that was a political threat to the empire. All of
2 their crimes would be done under the cover of warrants and under the protection of the
3 Justice Department. There would never be another Watergate because all such future
4 investigations would be done under the protection of a warrant and under the protection
5 of the law. Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief that, these acts of racketeering,
6 false pretenses, fraud and violation of the individual's oath of office constitutes a
7 despicable combination of unethical acts and conduct which have the effect of
8 governmental treason against the American People which continue to this day.

10 76. Further, Plaintiff Schlund starting in 1991 briefed the FBI on how the DEA
11 had people murdered in front of Plaintiffs wife to threaten her and to force her to work
12 for the DEA against Plaintiff Schlund. These murders were being done under the orders
13 and protection and direction of the DEA, police, Justice Department and the courts.
14 These murders could then be blamed on Plaintiff Schlund and if Plaintiffs wife refused to
15 aid the DEA in their crimes her children would be murdered. The DEA also threatened
16 to murder Plaintiff Schlund and his witnesses. The police refused to take or allow
17 murder reports from Plaintiff and refused to allow any of the witnesses to testify to them
18 or before any juries. The police and the DEA then injected all the known witnesses with
19 electronic implants and then proceeded to monitor, torture and control them with these
20 implants to threaten them anytime the witnesses said anything that those involved in
21 these government murders did not like. This Obstruction of Justice and Witness
22 Tampering continues until this day with the Justice Department continuing to threaten
23 the lives of the witnesses and refusing to stop the use of torture to threaten the
24 witnesses.

1 77. Plaintiff also alleges that, starting in 1977 and continuing until the present,
2 he has called and has written the police, federal agencies, Justice Department and the
3 President of the United States about the Obstruction of Justice and Witness Tampering
4 1,000's of times. Each time Plaintiff Schlund called or wrote the police, federal
5 agencies or the Justice Department corrupt agents under the protection of corrupt
6 judges would authorize more warrants against Plaintiff Schlund to frame him for some
7 crime to authorize them to continue to refuse to do their jobs and protect Plaintiff
8 Schlund and his witnesses. These acts of evil have continued since 1977 on a daily
9 basis to the present time and still continue today. After first trying to expose these
10 crimes in 1977 Plaintiff Schlund was then set-up, arrested and prosecuted as being a
11 purported drug manufacture. During this trial the DEA and Sheriffs office went to Plaintiff
12 Schlund's first witness and threatened to murder his wife and children while he was on
13 the witness stand if he dared say anything other then to take the 5th amendment while
14 on the witness stand. These threats against Plaintiff Schlund's witnesses continue to
15 this day with the Justice Department and police now committing witness tampering and
16 threats remotely using electronic implants. These evil crimes are being committed under
17 the cover and color of law in the name of justice and are being done remotely and
18 electronically after performing secret medical procedures on Plaintiff Schlund and all of
19 his witnesses. After Plaintiff Schlund's acquittal on all charges the threats and
20 harassment by the government continued until the present with the Justice Department
21 and others continuing to threaten Plaintiff Schlund and his witnesses with death if they
22 dared to continue to speak the truth and continue to refuse to sell drugs or refuse to
23 commit other crimes needed by the Justice Department to continue their corrupt
24 investigations.

1 78. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that anytime he writes or says anything about
2 how George W. Bush fixed the presidential elections of the United States his civil rights
3 are violated, i.e., he is tortured and his children are threatened or harassed with the
4 government continuing to threaten his ex-wife with the death of her children and
5 grandchildren if she says anything. These Nazi like acts by the Justice Department
6 under the direction of George W. Bush the President of the United States are
7 outrageous conduct of the United States of America and such conduct is wide spread
8 under the direction of political appointees from the Bush family. None of the witnesses
9 can testify freely before the implants are removed from them and their children. To do
10 so could mean the torture or death of their families or children by the Justice
11 Department. The only thing "surreal or fantastic" about any of this is the Justice
12 Department's and the courts' refusal to remove the implants and then interview the
13 witnesses while they are no longer under torture or the threat of death. Plaintiff alleges
14 on information and belief that the removal of the implants has nothing to do with
15 stopping the government's investigations. Investigations have been successfully carried
16 out for 1,000's of years without the use of implants. Now with the use of implants this
17 investigation cannot be completed or solved do to the use of torture and witness
18 intimidation conducted through the use of the implants. Free countries do not inject their
19 people with implants.

20
21
22
23 79. Plaintiff Schlund alleges he briefed the FBI eight years in advance before
24 the presidential elections were held with the names of every presidential candidate and
25 the correct details of how the elections would be fixed. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI
26 on how the Electoral Collage would be used and which states would be the key states
27 eight years in advance of the president elections. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI of
28

1 who the five Supreme Court Justices were that worked for the Bush Family seven and
2 eight years in advance of the elections. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI on how the
3 public vote would be split using third and fourth political parties to allow the Republicans
4 to win. Plaintiff Schlund alleges he also briefed the FBI on how the CIA and Bush Family
5 would try to buy the election by giving tax cuts to buy support which would damage the
6 economy of the United States and in time will lead to the destruction of our form of
7 government. All of this was briefed to the FBI seven and eight years in advance of the
8 elections. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges he did much more then what is listed in this
9 claim and briefed the FBI on some of the most secret things and everything asserted in
10 advance to the FBI by Plaintiff Schlund was confirmed true by the test of time as Plaintiff
11 Schlund briefed the FBI that it would. Plaintiff Schlund now asks the courts to stop
12 protecting the Bush family and to stop the cover-up of the fixing of the presidential
13 elections and to start to, at least, appear to be representing the American people.

16 80. Further, Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in 1992 briefed the FBI on the CIA's
17 plans to build Star Wars. In 1976 when these CIA files were removed from the CIA the
18 budget to build Star Wars in its most limited form at time of deployment after inflation in
19 real dollars was 100 billions dollars. Star Wars would not be able to defend American
20 cities from terrorist attacks and was not designed to stop terrorist. Star Wars was
21 designed to protect a few key military and production installations from Russian attack.
22 Plaintiff Schlund in 1992 briefed the FBI on how George Bush Sr. and the CIA planed
23 on doing a terrorist attack against the United States to authorize the funding for Star
24 Wars if the people of America refused to authorize the building of this Anti-Missile
25 System. Terrorism was what the CIA was going to use to continue to authorize their
26 existence and budgets after the end of the cold war. Without terrorism or a military
27
28

1 threat against the United States there would no longer be a need for the CIA. Plaintiff
2 Schlund had no advanced information on the plans for the attacks against the World
3 Trade Centers and has no information if they were part of the CIA's plans. Plaintiff
4 Schlund did read CIA files on the CIA, DEA and others repeatedly gassing targets
5 during their sleep and repeatedly drugging them and repeatedly hypnotizing these
6 people to make them do things that could be considered similar to the attacks on the
7 World Trade Centers.
8

9 81. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in 1992 he briefed the FBI on George Bush
10 Sr. and the CIA's plans to do terrorist attacks against the United States. These attacks
11 would only be done to authorize the needed new laws and budgets required in the
12 systematic overthrow of the United States by the CIA. He briefed the FBI and other
13 federal agencies about how the CIA had planned on using such terrorist attacks and
14 crimes to further erode the American Constitution by passing new laws and case law to
15 further remove the rights of American citizens as they made America a more totalitarian
16 state. Plaintiff alleges that he also briefed the FBI that a missile defense system to
17 protect all American cities would cost trillions of dollars to build and was not practical.
18 During these briefings Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI that a third world country would
19 not use missiles to attack the United States they would use airliners or ships and if
20 missiles were used they would be launched from ships. On information and belief,
21 Plaintiff Schlund alleges this may no longer be true because of the passing of time and
22 advancements in technology beyond the time frame of the Don Bolles Papers. Third
23 world countries may soon possess the needed technology to launch one or two missiles
24 from their country against the United States but this would insure their annihilation.
25 Plaintiff Schlund wishes to make it perfectly clear that he did not brief the FBI on the
26
27
28

1 specific plans for the attacks against the World Trade Centers. The CIA files on plans
2 for terrorist attacks Plaintiff Schlund read in 1977 were just memos and arguments of
3 how such covert operations would be done if they became necessary for the Bush and
4 Rockefeller families to authorize their seizure of power and the passing of new laws. If
5 the World Trade Center attacks were part of this conspiracy then Plaintiff Schlund has
6 no direct knowledge of it.

8 82. Plaintiff Schlund alleges, on information and belief, that George Bush Sr.
9 and George W. Bush used the Justice Department for their private investigations of
10 political witnesses and dissidents to cover up murder and treason by the Bush family
11 and that this continues even today on a large scale. Further, he alleges he read the CIA,
12 DEA, Justice Department and other files on the use of the Justice Department and its
13 agencies to conduct investigations for the purpose of destroying the lives of political
14 witnesses and discredit them. Plaintiff Schlund is such a political witness.

16 83. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges on information and belief that he read the
17 CIA files on funneling 100's of billions of dollars out of the government into the hands of
18 private corporations. While working with the FBI Plaintiff Schlund supplied the FBI with
19 such information. One of these money funneling operations was the building of the
20 Super Collider. Plaintiff Schlund briefed the FBI of this covert operation. The FBI then
21 briefed President William Clinton who stopped such funding and killed the building of
22 the Super Collider. Plaintiff Schlund, on information and belief, further alleges that in
23 George Bush Sr.'s CIA files that Plaintiff and others read specific factually based
24 statements of many such plunders of the Treasury of the United States.

27 84. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges that the government did use electronic
28 implants to disable Plaintiff Schlund's attorney for the purpose of limiting, controlling and

1 stopping this and other lawsuits. Plaintiff Schlund's attorney does not believe he was
2 injected with implants and cannot except that his government would do such a horrible
3 thing to him. If the government would stop acting disgracefully and stop lying and start
4 to act honestly and tell Plaintiff's attorney the truth that he was injected, Plaintiff
5 Schlund's attorney would then be able to see what has happened to him and would then
6 make a good witness able to testify correctly and truthfully to the facts.
7

8 85. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that his life was repeatedly threatened and that
9 he was tortured by the government threatening to murder him in retaliation for working
10 on and preparing this lawsuit. Plaintiff Schlund's daughter Mindee Schlund was tortured
11 and threatened with death by the government because Plaintiff continued to proceed
12 with this lawsuit. Plaintiff Schlund's ex-wife, Kathy Schlund, was also threatened and
13 tortured and deprived of sleep and threatened with the death of her children and
14 grandchildren if she truthfully talked about what she knew or helped Plaintiff Schlund.
15 Plaintiff Schlund's witness Dan Pomeroy was also threatened and intimidated and
16 tortured if he said anything truthful about the government's framing of Plaintiff. All
17 witnesses for Plaintiff Schlund were monitored with bugging devices or were injected
18 with implants to protect the Bush family and those they protected. These threats were
19 done remotely and electronically so they could and would be denied by the government
20 and the corrupt judges that protect President George W. Bush and the corrupt agents
21 that work under his direction of his appointees. This outrageous conduct of the United
22 States Government continues even today. George W. Bush uses the words freedom
23 and liberty in almost every sentence he publicly speaks and then uses torture and
24 repression secretly to destroy the lives of witnesses against him and the evil and corrupt
25 people he appoints. These acts are evil and outrageous conduct of the United States
26
27
28

1 as similarly previous factually determined by Federal Judge Lacey. Judge Lacey
2 determined these truths when he acquitted Plaintiff Schlund after the government had
3 framed and tried Plaintiff Schlund. Plaintiff Schlund was acquitted of all charges in a trial
4 with Judge Lacey determining that the government had framed Plaintiff Schlund and
5 committed perjury in the trial. The framing of Plaintiff Schlund was done to cover up
6 Plaintiff Schlund's reading of the Don Bolles Papers. The Don Bolles Papers in part
7 were George Bush Sr. plans to fix all future presidential elections in the United States

8
9 Further, Plaintiff Schlund alleges Justice Martone and Justice Broomfield and
10 other federal and state judges were utilized by the Bush Family for the purpose of
11 covering up their crimes of government and other corruption and of the aforesaid Bush
12 family and also relating to the fixing of presidential and other elections. Both of these
13 judges herd lawsuits from Plaintiff Schlund and then made up untruths and then ruled
14 on what they had made up instead of what was fact or in the lawsuits. This was done in
15 both cases after Plaintiff Schlund had filed recusals with the federal court and the
16 recusals had been denied. These events took place years after Plaintiff Schlund worked
17 with the FBI against these corrupt and evil judges who were appointed to the state and
18 federal benches to protect the Bush family and others under them from such lawsuits.
19 Judge Broomfield and Judge Martone rulings were done in retaliation against Plaintiff
20 Schlund for working with the FBI against them as being corrupt judges covertly working
21 for the Bush family. Plaintiff Schlund further alleges that these judges have had Plaintiff
22 Schlund tortured and threatened anytime he spoke truthfully about their corruption and
23 that they do not represent justice. They represent evil and corruption and their jobs with
24 the federal court is to cover-up or protect the Bush family, drug distribution, murders,
25 acts of treason and the governments fixing of the presidential elections of the United
26
27
28

1 States. These corrupt judges will do anything they can to hear Plaintiff Schlund's
2 lawsuits so they can throw the lawsuits out or delay them to protect the corruption in the
3 government. To allow them to hear any lawsuits or issue any warrants against Plaintiff
4 Schlund is Obstruction of Justice and Witness Tampering. There are many judges on
5 the federal bench that Plaintiff Schlund is not a witness against and only judges that are
6 not personally involved in this case should be aloud to hear lawsuits or issue warrants
7 so there can appear to be law and fairness even when it may not really exist. Of the
8 many judges on the federal bench Plaintiff Schlund is only a witness against about a
9 dozen of these judges in the entire United States. Plaintiff Schlund alleges he read
10 100's of files on corrupt judges but can only remember who about one dozen of these
11 federal judges were and can only demand that these federal judges not be aloud to hear
12 lawsuits that they are personally involved in because of their corruption. As George W.
13 Bush appoints more state judges to the federal bench Plaintiff Schlund may be a
14 witness against some of them if they were in the Don Bolles Papers. Plaintiff Schlund
15 does remember who about a dozen corrupt Arizona State Judges were from the Don
16 Bolles Papers.

20 86. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief and on those grounds, United
21 states district Court Judge Robert Broomfield violated his oath of office to uphold the
22 United states Constitution and to act under the judicial canons to objective, fair,
23 impartial and follow the law and allow lawsuits with disputed facts to go forward on their
24 merits protecting rights to jury trials such as requested by Plaintiff Schlund. Plaintiff
25 Schlund's fundamental constitutional rights to due process, equal protection and the
26 right to a jury trial were blatantly violated. With personal knowledge, the DEA and its
27 agents violated Plaintiff Schlund's constitutional rights and federal law. Judge
28

1 Broomfield also completely disregarded his personal knowledge that Plaintiff Schlund
2 had personal knowledge that Judge Broomfield is a corrupt and unethical judge who
3 Plaintiff Schlund had personal interaction with and knowledge of Judge Broomfield's
4 illegal and corrupt activities of illegal, misconduct. Judge Broomfield intentionally
5 refused to recuse himself so he could stop Plaintiff Schlund's lawsuits. Judge
6 Broomfield refused to recuse himself for the purpose of protecting the illegal and
7 criminal conduct of the DEA, its agents and others with relation to Judge Broomfield so
8 he could avoid a trial on the merits directly violating Plaintiff Schlund's constitutional
9 right to a jury trial thereon. Based on the written ruling by Judge Broomfield, in face of
10 direct evidence supportive of the need to recuse himself and disputed facts, he
11 dismissed the case contrary to the facts and law giving the appearance of judicial
12 impropriety, dishonesty, dishonorableness and a lack of judicial integrity undermining
13 the honorary judicial system. Plaintiff Schlund alleges all of the aforesaid caused a
14 chilling effect in trusting other like judges and the judicial system.

15
16
17
18 87. Plaintiff Schlund alleges, on information and belief, that when the Nazis
19 injected the Jews in the neck, the Nuremberg trials found that this was a crime against
20 humanity. It was never determined what was injected and the court found that to be
21 irrelevant. The issue was that the government did these injections under the cover and
22 color of law forcibly against the will of those being injected and that these injections
23 resulted in making those injected sick and/or resulted in their torture. The Nazi courts
24 allowed these crimes to be committed secretly under their protection and direction.

25
26 In Germany, the Jews were politically set up as scapegoats so Hitler could take
27 power. In America, George Bush Sr. and George W. Bush set up Plaintiff Schlund for
28 political reasons to place Plaintiff Schlund under investigations to authorize injecting

1 Plaintiff in the neck. This was done to cover up the Bush family's crimes so they could
2 take and hold power.

3 The Nazi's called their use of torture research. The Justice Department calls their
4 use of torture investigations. Both tried to claim that they were protecting the people
5 while they committed their evil crimes. Both used torture and then justified the use of
6 torture by calling torture a different name other than torture.

8 88. Plaintiff Schlund alleges that in 1993 he briefed the FBI that President
9 Clinton would be attacked continually throughout his presidency by this right wing
10 conspiracy including judges that secretly worked for the CIA and other organizations the
11 CIA controlled. Plaintiff Schlund also briefed the FBI that the Bush family would be
12 protected by the courts. When Clinton was suspected of possibly committing a crime,
13 the courts allowed the use of Grand Juries and lawsuits to expose any possible crimes.
14 Witnesses against Bush were stopped from filing lawsuits or the lawsuits were thrown
15 out without discovery or allowing any of the witnesses to testify. Police refused to take
16 police reports and the crimes were covered-up under the surreal claims of the courts
17 that such lawsuits were surreal or fantastic. In other words when no evidence against
18 Clinton existed, the courts allowed all legal proceeding to proceed and when mountains
19 of evidence against the Bush family existed, no lawsuits were allowed to proceed.

22 89. Plaintiff Schlund alleges, on information, belief and evidence, that
23 Defendants assert as a method to violate fundamental constitutional rights of Plaintiff
24 Schlund and other similarly situated plaintiffs the case of *Doran v. McGinnes*, 158
25 F.R.D. 383 (1994). This case is systematically used to invalidate valid civil rights cases
26 filed against the government's use of technology which includes electronic implants
27 used by it for different purposes. This defense is used against cases filed in the federal
28

1 court which assert legitimate claims such as Plaintiff Schlund has filed against the
2 government's use of an integrated system of electronic-force technology to hurt a
3 person. It then uses the United States Attorney's office – attorneys – to assert the
4 unmeritous argument in motions for summary judgment that (all) implant technology civil
5 rights cases are connected to "implant mental telepathy" similar to Doran. Then,
6 allegedly, a less technologically informed judge or a purely corrupt judge disregards the
7 disputed, relevant material facts, normally within the province of a jury, grants the
8 motion and prematurely procedurally terminates Plaintiff's actions. Plaintiff Schlund's
9 torture as a political witness continues, under the pretext of color of authority, in reality,
10 is illegal and corrupt.

11
12
13 Plaintiff Schlund demands to be allowed to demonstrate the use of remote
14 electronic-force torture with implanted devices to the court. The court in Schlund v DEA
15 committed fraud using the ruling of Doran v. McGinnes, the court had no intention of
16 allowing a demonstration of the type of torture that the government uses against Plaintiff
17 Schlund daily and prematurely dismissed said case.

18
19 Plaintiff Schlund, on information and belief, believes that his father was injected
20 with implants and then murdered by persons protected by the government under the
21 protection of the courts with similar implants. Plaintiff Schlund desires to demonstrate to
22 the court the kind of torture used by the government for torture and for murder. Plaintiff
23 Schlund requests this court to allow him or his designated experts to install similar
24 devices into a select person or judge who volunteers for the demonstration.

25
26 Plaintiff Schlund alleges his children were injected with implants as toddlers and
27 then tortured with the implants causing internal bleeding and other horrible and evil Nazi
28 like results. The children almost died many times from the implants and the DEA has

1 represented repeatedly to Plaintiff Schlund that there was nothing he could do to the
2 torturers because they were above all laws. Will the court allow the injection of their
3 children with similar devices and then stop all legal proceedings until the children are
4 adults and then allow Plaintiff Schlund to frame them as being drug dealers to justify this
5 Nazi like criminal behavior? This is what the court did to Plaintiff Schlund's children.
6 Plaintiff Schlund would like to demonstrate this kind of torture to the court on children of
7 the judges of the court. Plaintiff Schlund would wake up in the morning with his children
8 lying in a puddle of their blood as big as they were from their torture from these devices
9 and Plaintiff Schlund would like to demonstrate how the DEA committed these crimes in
10 the protection of the Bush family using implanted remote controlled devices.
11

12
13 The DEA installed removable implants in Plaintiff Schlund's wife's lungs to cover
14 up the Don Bolles papers. In about 1984, Plaintiff's wife died on the operating table from
15 complications from the interaction of these devices with the anesthesia. She had to be
16 revived. After taking x-rays of the devices the doctor then asked Plaintiff Schlund for
17 permission to remove the devices. The DEA then stopped the doctor. Plaintiff Schlund
18 requests of the court to allow Plaintiff or his designated expert(s) to demonstrate how
19 criminal this conduct by the government is.
20

21 Plaintiff Schlund would try to go to work after his injection with remote controlled
22 torture devices. The court calls these devices monitoring devices to cover up their
23 crime. At work Plaintiff Schlund would lay on the ground at the job site and scream in
24 pain until he passed out from the torture from the implanted devices. The government
25 would then stop the torture until Plaintiff Schlund regained consciousness and tried to
26 again move and then the government would through electronic-force torture Plaintiff
27 Schlund again until he again passed out from the torture. This process was repeated
28

1 daily over and over again 1,000's of times. Plaintiff Schlund request this court to allow
2 him or his designated experts to demonstrate this kind of torture to the courts and
3 awaits the courts authorization to conduct the demonstrations on one of the judges of
4 the court. The torture being inflicted against Plaintiff Schlund is at times worst then
5 being crucified and then skinned alive over and over again. The only difference is that
6 this torture is being done on the inside of Plaintiff Schlund not leaving marks on the
7 outside for people to see. The torture of Plaintiff Schlund is done to force Plaintiff
8 Schlund to commit some crime in his self-defense which will allow the government to
9 remove him as a political witness under the cover of law. These acts are acts of
10 violations of federal law prohibiting torture, terrorism, electronic stalking, assault,
11 battery, trespass, invasion of privacy, etc.

14 90. As a direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's loss of trust in the federal
15 government, loss of earning capacity, and continuous mental and physical torture has
16 been sustained by Plaintiff. Plaintiff Schlund has been deprived of and has lost the
17 expectancy of the ability to support his family at a standard of living desired by him; lead
18 a happy and satisfying family life and marriage; and his privacy, due process, peace,
19 and right of association provided by Plaintiff Schlund's family and his friends. He has
20 been further deprived of and lost the benefit of his family's and friends' love, affection,
21 companionship, comfort, sex, guidance, economic stability, security, and trust in the
22 government; and further, Plaintiff Schlund has incurred medical and other personal and
23 business expenses, on information and belief and on those grounds, in the approximate
24 amount of \$20 million dollars continuously thereafter to present.

1 91. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants, and each of their
2 aforesaid activities, Plaintiff Schlund has experienced pain and suffering and will
3
4 continue to suffer, physiologically, psychologically and emotionally, sustaining other
5 general and compensatory damages for which he is entitled to fair and just
6 compensation in the amount, on information and belief and on those grounds, the sum
7
8 of not less than \$5 million or according to proof.

9 92. As a further direct and proximate cause of the acts and conduct of
10 Defendants, while engaging in their collective conspiracy to violate Plaintiff Schlund's
11 constitutional rights as aforesaid, Plaintiff has been forced to incur attorney, paralegal,
12 investigation, expert, and other related fees and costs to pursue his claims against the
13 Defendants, in an amount which is continuous and ongoing and is not yet ascertained.
14

15 93. Plaintiff Schlund, on information and belief and on those grounds, alleges
16 the United States of America, through its President George W. Bush, departments,
17 divisions, agencies and employees, failed to exercise reasonable care and was reckless
18 and negligent in its selection, hiring, training and continued employment of the aforesaid
19 officers, employees, political appointees and agents as special agents, police officers,
20 informants, and others of said departments, agencies and divisions. The United States
21 of America's reckless and negligent selection, hiring, training and continued
22 employment of said special agents, police officers, political appointees and employees,
23 led to a life threatening situation, abuse of legal process, and gross violation of Plaintiff
24 Schlund's federal and state constitutional rights.
25
26
27
28

1 94. The United States of America and George W. Bush had a duty to protect
2 and prevent the violation of Plaintiff's federal and state constitutional rights and further
3
4 to protect Plaintiff Schlund from the dangers of inadequately trained, educated, and
5 skilled agents, police officers, informants, political appointees and the negligent acts of
6 the aforesaid.
7

8 95. Defendant U.S.A. and George W. Bush had a common law and statutory
9 duty to protect Plaintiff Schlund pursuant to the Constitution of the United States of
10 America and the federal statutes, including 28 U.S.C. §2674 and regulations pertaining
11 to law enforcement and its related investigation activities and the management of its
12 special agents, police officers, informants in conducting such investigations.
13
14

15 96. In addition to liability imposed on the United States of America due to its
16 respective breach of both common law and statutory duties, and violation of Plaintiff
17 Schlund's federal and Constitutional rights to protect him, the United States of America
18 and George W. Bush is also liable to Plaintiff by virtue of respondent superior due to the
19 wrongful and torturous acts said Defendant George W. Bush and the respective agents,
20 police officers and informants, individually.
21
22

23 97. The United States of America was reckless and negligent and failed to
24 exercise reasonable care in both its common law and statutory duty to protect Plaintiff
25 Schlund's constitutional rights under federal laws.
26

27 98. From January 2001 and continuing thereafter to present, the Defendant
28 U.S.A., and its respective agents, police officers, and informants had no reasonable

1 suspicion or probably cause of criminal activity to warrant the surveillance, fabrication of
2 evidence, or the gross violation of Plaintiff's Constitutional Rights. The United States of
3 America, and each of its respective agents and police officers, fabricated probably
4 cause to effect the obtainment of a warrant used to torture and intrude upon Plaintiff's
5 premises and violate Plaintiff Constitutional Rights. Said President George W. Bush,
6 officers, agents, employees and unknown agents placed Plaintiff Schlund under illegal
7 and perpetual surveillance, and violated Plaintiff Schlund's rights to privacy and other
8 federal rights including his Constitutional Rights.

12 99. From January 2001 and continuing thereafter to present, Defendant
13 U.S.A. and its respective agents, quasi-employees, employees and informants had a
14 known duty under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the
15 United States to properly provide adequate protections so as not to violate Plaintiff
16 Schlund's due equal protection and process rights. Each and all of the aforesaid
17 Defendants did not provide such adequate due process and equal protection and
18 violated Plaintiff Schlund's First, Second, Fourth, Fifth, Seventh, Ninth and Fourteenth
19 Amendment rights under the Constitution.

23 100. From January 2001 and continuing thereafter to present, Defendants and
24 each of them used improper, excessive and illegal electronic intrusion and surveillance
25 activities, fabrication of evidence, distortion of data, abuse of process and implanted
26 torturing devices in Plaintiff Schlund's body, which has caused Plaintiff to sustain life

1 threatening physiological and psychological injuries, pain and suffering. They have
2 failed and refused to remove the torture devices from Plaintiff Schlund's body or to
3 provide adequate medical care to Plaintiff by negligently failing to monitor his
4 psychological and physical condition which has resulted in extreme mental and physical
5 distress. These acts have now resulted in injuries that Plaintiff Schlund in time will die
6 from the damages caused from the injection and torture of the electronic devices.
7 Plaintiff Schlund alleges that he suffers from high blood pressure of over 200 on most
8 days caused from the devices is killing Plaintiff Schlund and doing irreparable harm,
9 injury and damage to his entire body and mind.

10
11
12
13 101. Defendant U.S.A., and each and all of the Defendants individually, are
14 directly liable for the deprivation and violations of Plaintiff Schlund's civil rights on
15 grounds the United States of America has, and continue to violate such rights, with
16 deliberate indifference and with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's Constitutional,
17 personal rights and safety of the public guaranteed under the federal rules and
18 regulations pertaining to the lawful use of surveillance, force, medical needs and
19 provisions of care to Plaintiff, who has been psychologically and physically injured from
20 such unlawful conduct, thereby creating within the government an atmosphere of
21 lawlessness in which the President, agents, police officers, informants and employees
22 employ excessive and illegal activities, violence which results in the denial and violation
23 of Plaintiff's and other persons rights within its jurisdiction, protection of their federal and
24 state constitutional rights or/and basic medical care for serious injuries or illnesses

1 caused by the torture in the belief that such wrongful acts will be condoned and justified
2 by the U.S.A., George W. Bush and the governmental officers, officials and the superior
3 officers of each of the agents, police officers and informants.
4

5 102. As a further direct and proximate result of violation of Plaintiff's rights to
6 privacy, violation of Plaintiff's rights to due process, Plaintiff has and will continue to
7 suffer significant grief, sorrow, shock, depression, pain and suffering, both psychological
8 and emotional, humiliation and other general damages for which he is entitled to a fair
9 and just compensation in an amount of \$20 million or according to proof at trial.
10
11

12 103. The actions of all said Defendants deprived Plaintiff Schlund of the
13 following rights under the Constitution of the United States of America, including the
14 First, Second, Fourth, Fifth, Seventh, Ninth and Fourteenth amendments: (a) freedom
15 from the use of unreasonable and excessive force; (b) freedom from the deprivation of
16 life and liberty without due process; (c) freedom to be secure in his person; (d) freedom
17 from the unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain and suffering; (e) freedom from the
18 deliberate indifference to his serious medical and psychological needs due to and as a
19 direct cause of torture; (f) equal protection under the law; and (g) freedom of his
20 personal papers, effects and things from governmental intrusion and (h) freedom to
21 have rights to privacy, also resulting in the violation of federal law as more fully set forth
22 in Paragraphs 1-5, inclusive, incorporated hereat.
23
24
25
26

27 104. As a result of the aforesaid actions of the United States of America and its
28 respective agents, officers, quasi-agents, and informants being a citizen of the United

1 States of America and a resident of the State of Arizona, Plaintiff was and continues to
2 be deprived of his rights and inalienable rights, privileges, and immunities secured by
3 the Constitution of the United States of America; Plaintiff's personal liberty has been
4 violated, he has and continues to suffer physical, mental and emotional harm, anxiety,
5 duress, fear and humiliation, torture and general pain and suffering in, on and upon
6 Plaintiff's body in an amount according to proof at time of trial. Plaintiff Schlund leaves
7 here to amend same when ascertained.

10
11 105. Plaintiff Schlund is entitled to all costs of suit, including reasonable
12 attorney fees, secretarial fees, investigation and other fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
13 §1988, however not limited thereto, under the federal Private Attorney General Act
14 and/or state law in an amount according to proof;

16
17 106. To the extent available under federal law, Plaintiff Schlund is entitled to
18 recover punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish the individual(s) and
19 Defendant U.S.A. in order to deter similar despicable conduct in the future in an amount
20 according to proof under Federal law and/or applying state law pursuant to
21 Thompson.Bettor-Bit Aluminum Prod. Co. 171 Ariz. 550 (1992) and Lithicum v.
22 Nationwide Life Ins., 150 Ariz. 326 (1986). Plaintiff leaves here to amend this
23 paragraph according to proof.

26 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants and each of
27 them, individually, jointly and severally as follows:
28

1 1. For all compensatory damages for pain and suffering, etc. in the amount
2 of \$20 million or according to proof;
3

4 2. For all costs of suit, including attorney fees, investigators and other
5 related fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988 or/and the Private Attorney General
6 Act or according to proof;
7

8 3. For all special damages in the amount of \$40 million according to proof;
9

10 4. For all treble damages based on compensatory damages per RICO
11 statute according to proof;

12 5. For all costs incurred in this action according to proof;
13

14 6. The court is hereby demanded to make specific findings of fact and
15 conclusion of law, pursuant to Federal Code of Civil Procedures, Rule 52, on each and
16 all issues of specific and ultimate facts raised in this Complaint; [This demand shall
17 constitute a continuing demand and shall not be deemed waived unless specifically
18 done so by Plaintiff in writing in a separately filed pleading with the court entitled "Notice
19 of Waiver of Finding of Fact and Conclusion" notarized.]
20
21

22 7. For all pre-judgment interest in an amount according to proof; and
23

24 8. For all such other further relief as the Court deems just, fair and proper
25 under the circumstances.
26

27 DATE: August _____, 2003
28

By: _____
Charles August Schlund, III
In Pro Per

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

COPIES of this Verified Complaint
mailed by Certified Mail this _____ day
of August, 2003 to:

George W. Bush, an individual; and as
President of the United States
White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Mr. John Ashcroft
Attorney General of the United States
950 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Office of the Solicitor General
United States of America
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

U. S. Attorney's Office
Department of Justice
555 Fourth Street, NW, 10th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

Office of the Inspector General
United States of America
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Suite 4322
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

1 U.S. Department of Justice
2 Federal Bureau of Investigation
3 J. Edgar Hoover Building
4 935 Pennsylvania Avenue
5 Washington, D.C. 20535-0001
6
7 United States Department of Justice
8 Drug Enforcement Administration
9 Department of Justice
10 Mail Stop: AXS
11 2401 Jefferson Davis Highway
12 Arlington, Virginia 22301
13 United States Department of Justice
14 Drug Enforcement Administration
15 P.O. Box 28128
16 Washington, D.C. 20005
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: _____