1. Kaushal Niroula 2. Defendant In Pro Per 3. Booking # 200910575 Indio Jail 4. P.O. Box 1748 INDIO, CA 92201 5. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6. **COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE** (INDIO BRANCH) 7. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,) **CASE NO: INF 064492** 8. **PLAINTIFF** 9. NOTICE OF MOTION, AND v. 10. MOTION FOR COURT ORDER, AUTHORIZING MOVER'S 11. **USE OF "BABBLE" TAPE DURING ATTORNEY/** 12. KAUSHAL NIROULA, ET AL PRIVILEGED VISITS **DEFENDANT** 13. Date: 2-24-12 Time: 8:30 am Dept. 1B 14. 15. TO: HONORABLE DAVID B DOWNING JUDGE PRESIDING/PAMELA J WALLS, COUNSEL FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE ON BEHALF OF THE SHERIFF OF 16. THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE STANLEY J SNIFF JR.\ADDITIONAL NOTICE TO: PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA BY THEIR DISTRCIT ATTORNEY OF 17. THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, PAUL E ZELLERBACH AND OR HIS REPRESENTATIVE. 18. **NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that on the 24 day of February in the year 2012 at 8:30 am, or 19. as soon thereafter that the matter may be heard at Dept. 1B of the aforementioned court. The defendant, Kaushal Niroula, A Propia Persona will move and hereby moves this court for an 20. order of this court compelling Stanley Sniff Sheriff of the County of Riverside and his subordinates and all incarceration facilities operated within his jurisdiction through his 21. designates to allow the use of the "Babble file" on his computer and a USB speaker during his 22. visits either with Court appointed assets or Investigators or attorneys while incarcerated in the Riverside County Jail in Indio or anywhere else. 23. Mr. Niroula's Motion will be based upon this notice of Motion, and motion/ attached exhibits, 24. any further supplemental evidence filed before the date of the hearing and further any evidentiary testimony offered at the hearing and any and all documents filed on this case and on this matter. 25. 26. 27.

assets and attorneys.

12.

10.

14.15.

16.

17.18.

19.

20.21.

22. 23.

24.25.

26.

27.

28.

MAY IT PLEASE THIS HONORABLE COURT. Defendant, KAUSHAL NIROULA, (hereinafter referred to as "Mr. Niroula") respectfully requests this Honorable Court receive this Motion for authorization to use a "babble tape" during visits he has with his Court appointed assets and Attorneys which, in addition to allowing the use of the "babble tape" authorizes the use of a single speaker system capable to connection to Mover's Court authorized Apple MacBook Laptop Computer during his visits with his Court appointed

- 1. In Support of this Motion, Mover attaches hereto his Declaration, and the Declaration of Demi Tolbert Esq., and Martha Dixon, Mover's Court appointed Legal Runner, and S. Stephen Spring, II, Esq., and James M. Atkinson *in globo* attached and annexed hereto as EXHIBIT "A".
- 2. Mover relates that as reflected in the records of this Court proceeding, there is undisputed evidence that privileged telephone calls between Mover and various attorneys were recorded by third parties unknown and without the consent of this Mover or his attorneys. In addition, there exists evidence which suggests that there is present in the Indio Jail facility, a recording system which monitors, intercepts, and records for possible dissemination oral communications between Mover, his Court appointed assets, and attorneys who, from time to time, have visited your Mover and are providing legal advice and services for various other matters such as your Mover's Immigration status with the United States, *inter alia*.
- 3. The required protocol mandated by the Riverside County Sheriff's Office requires attorney visit personnel to check in at the front desk, provide identification, and then enter into one of two attorney booths. These booths are separated from Mover by a cement wall and clear glass panel. A pre existing slit in which documents could be passed has been closed and locked with a custom metal plate. As a result of this structure, the acoustical makeup of the structure, to

3.

4.
 5.

6.7.

8.

9.

10. 11.

12.

13.

14.15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

prevent eavesdropping of privileged oral communications, requires the deployment of what is commonly called a "babble" tape coupled with the use of a speaker system.

- 4. This request has been predicated upon at least one occasion during which it became clear that the Riverside Sheriff's office has or had installed an eavesdropping system. As reflected in the Declaration of Martha Dixon, Court appointed Legal Runner to this Mover, prior to entering the attorney booth, she was asked how long she anticipated she would be visiting with Mr. Niroula. After relating that she anticipated being in interview for approximately at least an hour. Immediately after relating the answer, Ms. Dixon heard one deputy tell ostensibly another deputy, "Get two CD's". In addition, as reflected in the Declaration of Demi Tolbert, Esq., a member of the California Bar in good standing, the Sheriff's Department explicitly maintains an unconstitutional policy of intercepting all oral communications between attorneys and their clients at every jail facility in Riverside County, as related to her by Deputy Clark on Friday, February 3, 2012.
- 5. Premised upon this factual basis giving rise for concern, and after consultation with S. Stephen Spring, II, Esq., an attorney with a background in both technical intelligence and technical surveillance countermeasures, Mr. Spring, whose firm represents one of the most knowledgeable TSCM's assets in the world, requested the engineering, composing, and production of a "babble tape". In order to prevent acquisition of oral communications, acoustic noise maskers and babble tapes are commonly utilized by intelligence agencies, military services, and the military.
- 6. In this particular case, the babble tape was produced in accordance with the specifications requested for attorney visits between and among Mr. Niroula, his Court appointed assets and his attorney(s) for other matters including Stephen Spring. The babble tape consists of a digital

3.

4.

5.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.26.

27.

28.

4

computer file. The proper technical name for this file is that of a "Babble Tape" or "Masking File" created to assist in acoustic privacy against hidden microphones and eavesdropping devices capable of intercepting oral communications.

- 7. Within the created file are not less than thirty-two (32) people speaking at a time in English, plus eight (8) people speaking in foreign languages all stabilized at the same volume and range. Each speakers audio track is then compressed in time and frequency to create an additional four to five "voices" for each speaker, and to fill the frequency spectrum with suitable ranges of vocal ranges and frequencies. These various tracks or voices are then re-inserted slightly below that of the voices from which they originated. Slightly below the peaks of these numerous tracks or random mechanical oriented sounds that are outside of normal human vocal capability, but which are within the range of hearing, and within the range of any eavesdropping device or hidden microphone. Also contained in the files is a reoccurring supersonic burst at random intervals, which can not be heard by an adult person, but which may be heard by children and by sophisticated eavesdropping system. These supersonic bursts, engineered to interfere with the automatic gain control circuits in any eavesdropping device may be heard as a rhythmic ticking sound through the file.
- 8. The specifically constructed babble tape file for Mr. Niroula contains the voices of Mr. Niroula, Martha Dixon, and Stephen Spring, including other Court appointed assets' voices. Thus, an eavesdropper may hear a particular person's voice in fragments and any attempt to follow that voice will be frustrated. One listening to the babble tape file will note that periodically one of the speaker's voices raises in volume above the rest for a few seconds. This technique is designed to strain the resources of any eavesdropping. The specific babble tape file produced for Mr. Niroula's use is specifically engineered to defeat adaptive audio filtering,

4.

5.

6.

7.8.

9.

10.

11.

12. 13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.20.

21.

22.

23.

24.25.

26.

27.

28.

including the use of multiple microphones, phase filters, or related higher performance filtering methods. Also included are wide dynamic vocal ranges, reversals and phase shifts to further frustrate any intelligent reconstruction of any intercepted audio. After very careful tuning and editing of this specific Niroula babble tape, the results are well in excess of 144 tracks which was duplicated and shifted by 180 degrees of phase offset, such that the resulting 288 files were reversed and inserted in 50% volume levels to ensure that all gaps in frequency or time were filled in with additional sound. Thus, the babble tape created has a total of over 576 voices or sound tracks. These tracks were then mixed down into the dual track audio file being the Niroula final babble tape.

- 9. Mr. Niroula has been provided by Court order with an Apple Laptop computer. As a result it is imperative that a separate audio speaker system be provided to him for use in deploying the babble tape. The file SHOULD NOT BE PLAYED through Mr. Niroula's computer since the babble tape file will with a high degree of probability damage the computer's speakers after prolonged usage as the continued use over the computer's speaker membranes does not allow them time to rebound. This results not from volume, but from frequency of coverage and sound durations.
- 11. As a result, on Monday, January 23, 2012, Martha Dixon, Stephen Spring, James Butts, F B I Special Agent retired, and William Picard drove to the Staples Office Supply Store located in La Quinta, California. As reflected in their Declarations and a copy of the sales receipt, one (1) Cyber Acoustics Portable USB Powered Speaker, Model No. CA-2880, for use by Mr. Niroula's laptop computer on the prisoner side of the attorney visit booth for the East Wing of the Indio Jail where he is presently incarcerated. Since the purchase of this speaker which was NOT removed from its original packaging, it has been under the exclusive care, custody and

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9. 10.

11.

12.

13. 14.

15.

16.

17.18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.26.

27.

28.

control of Martha Dixon, Mr. Niroula's court appointed Legal Runner with the specific purpose of presenting same to the designated officer(s) of the Riverside County Sheriff's Office in open Court before the Honorable David B. Downing. In addition, as reflected in the Declarations above, two (2) SanDisk Cruzer Blade 4GB USB Flash Drives were purchased with the express purpose of providing a copy of the babble tape file to both this Honorable Court and the Riverside County Sheriff's Office.

12. Finally, it is submitted that with the playing of the babble tape on both Mr. Niroula's side of the attorney visit booth and the attorney side of the attorney visit booth, should any hidden microphone system or eavesdropping device designed to intercept oral communications be present, the privileged nature and contents of Mr. Niroula's oral communications with his Court appointed assets and individual attorneys who are entitled to legal privilege will be preserved in spite of any eavesdropping devices.

WHEREFORE, Mover, Mr. Kaushal Niroula, requests that this Honorable Court order the Sheriff of Riverside County to be the custodian of the Cyber Acoustics Portable USB Powered Speaker, Model No. CA-2880 provided to this Honorable Court and provide same to Mr. Niroula during his attorney visits with either his Court appointed assets, private investigators, or attorneys providing legal advice and/or legal services on his behalf. Also, to permit the audio file to be played at a level sufficient in volume while in the booth to provide proper masking so that not only do will covert microphones be subverted, but a person attempting to eavesdrop with the unaided ear will also not be able to understand the conversation taking place in the booth.

February 24th has been chosen since Deputy D.A. Lisa DiMaria is busy with two other murder cases as related to this Court on Friday, January 27, 2012.

| 1. | |
|-----|--|
| 2. | Dated this February 9th, 2012, Riverside County, Ca. 92210. |
| 3. | |
| 4. | Respectfully Submitted, |
| 5. | |
| 6. | |
| 7. | <u>Kaushal Niroula</u> |
| 8. | <u>IXausiiai Ivii Ouia</u> |
| 9. | Electronically Signed |
| 10. | Kaushal Niroula |
| 11. | In Propia Persona |
| 12. | |
| 13. | |
| 14. | |
| 15. | |
| 16. | |
| 17. | |
| 18. | |
| 19. | |
| 20. | |
| 21. | |
| 22. | |
| 23. | |
| 24. | |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 27. | |
| 28. | 7 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE TAPE DURING ATTORNEY VISITS |

1. 2. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3. **COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE** (INDIO BRANCH) 4. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, **CASE NO: INF 064492** 5. **PLAINTIFF** 6. v. PROPOSED ORDER 7. **AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE" TAPE** 8. **DURING ATTORNEY** 9. **VISITS** KAUSHAL NIROULA, ET AL **DEFENDANT** 10. 11. **ORDER** 12. THE COURT, after reviewing the pleadings, declarations, evidence, and being 13. otherwise fully aware in the premises pursuant to Defendant, KAUSHAL NIROULA's, Motion 14. 15. for Order ordering that the Sheriff of Riverside County at Indio, California, take custody of one 16. (1) Cyber Acoustics Portable USB Powered Speaker, Model No. CA-2880, to be provided to 17. Kaushal Niroula for purposes of playing a babble tape to prevent the interception of his 18. privileged oral communications with his Court appointed assets, private investigators, and 19. attorneys to be used with his Apple Laptop now housed in the Indio Jail for Mr. Niroula's use, 20. and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, 21.

IT IS ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Sheriff of Riverside County and the Office of the District Attorney show cause, if any they can, on February 24, 2012, at 9am PST why the relief requested by Mr. Niroula should not be granted; said relief consisting of the provision to either the Sheriff of Riverside County or his designate to receive one (1) Cyber Acoustics Portable USB Powered Speaker, Model CA-2880 who shall be the custodian of said

27.

28.

22.

23.

24.

25.

| 1. | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| 2. | speaker and provide same to Kaushal Niroula, upon Mr. Niroula's request, for his use to play the |
| 3. | babble tape file made specifically for Mr. Niroula to prevent the interception of Mr. Niroula's |
| 4. | privileged conversations with his Court appointed assets, private investigators, and attorneys |
| 5. | present to provide either legal advice or legal services to Mr. Niroula, and that this speaker shall |
| 6. | be housed with the Apple Laptop computer assigned to Mr. Niroula for his use, Pro Per, in his |
| 7. 8. | defense of the charges against him in the above named and numbered matter. |
| 9. | ORDERED thisday of February, 2012, at Indio, Riverside County, California. |
| 10. | |
| 11. | |
| 12.13. | Honorable David B. Downing |
| 14. | Superior Court Judge- Indio |
| 15. | |
| 16. | |
| 17. | |
| 18. | |
| 19. | |
| 20. | |
| 21. | |
| 22. | |
| 23. | |
| 24. | |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 27. | \mathfrak{g} NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE TAPE |
| 28. | DURING ATTORNEY VISITS |

| | SU | PERIOR COURT OF THE STA | |
|----------|----------|---|---|
| | | COUNTY OF RIVI (INDIO BRAN | |
| PEOPLE | | STATE OF CALIFORNIA,) NTIFF) | CASE NO: INF 064492 |
| v. | |)))) | NOTICE AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE" TAPE DURING ATTORNEY |
| | | JLA, ET AL) ENDANT) | VISITS |
| | | | UPPORT OF HIS MOTION FOR USE HIS PRIVILEGED VISITS WHILE IN |
| | | THE INDIO J. | |
| I, KAUSH | IAL NIRO | OULA, declare: | |
| | 1. | Legal Runner, to present to the speaker, more particularly deserbabble tape file specifically of Granite Island Group of Rock | t order Martha Dixon, my Court appointed e Riverside County Sheriff's Office a USB cribed in my Motion, so that I can play the created for me by James M. Atkinson of port, Massachusetts, during my privileged |
| | 2. | oral communications are bei | ape because I have grave concerns that my ng monitored during my visits with my |
| | 3. | Court appointed assets, private I submit the following reasons | |
| | 4. | I am appearing Pro Per (a pro | pria persona) in this matter which includes |
| | 5. | | er for hire; ne merits commencing at 9am PST on May |
| | 6. | 25, 2012. The deployment and use of the | e babble tape file with the USB speaker on |
| | | my side of the attorney bo | ooth should prevent the monitoring or d conversations as I understand it from |
| | 7. | Stephen Spring, Esq., LBN 12 | 2347, who is my attorney in other matters. perjury under the laws of the State of |
| | | California that the foregoing is | <u> </u> |
| | | | |

| 1. | |
|-----|--|
| 2. | |
| 3. | Executed this 6 th day of February, 2012. |
| 4. | |
| 5. | Respectfully submitted, |
| 6. | |
| 7. | Vouchal Niroula |
| 8. | _ <u>Kaushal Niroula</u> Electronically Signed |
| 9. | Kaushal Niroula in Pro Per |
| 10. | |
| 11. | |
| 12. | |
| 13. | |
| 14. | |
| 15. | |
| 16. | |
| 17. | |
| 18. | |
| 19. | |
| 20. | |
| 21. | |
| 22. | |
| 23. | |
| 24. | |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 27. | |
| 28. | NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE TAPE DURING ATTORNEY VISITS |

| 1. | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 2. | | |
| 3. | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST. | |
| 4. | COUNTY OF RIV (INDIO BRAN | |
| 5. | PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, | CASE NO: INF 064492 |
| 6. | PLAINTIFF) | |
| 7. | v.) | NOTICE AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER |
| 8. |) | AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE" TAPE |
| 9. | () KAUSHAL NIROULA, ET AL | DURING ATTORNEY VISITS |
| 10. | DEFENDANT) | VISITS |
| 11. |) | |
| 12. | | |
| 13. | <u>DECLARATION OF WILLIAM PICARD IN SU</u> SPEAKER AND BABBLE TAPE DURING HIS F | |
| 15. | INDIO JAI | |
| 14.15. | I, William Picard, declare: | |
| 15. 16. | | ted Paralegal and witnessed Martha Dixon |
| 17. | to maintain the chain of custody of the | r. Niroula's Babble Motion in order for her his brand new, still in the package speaker lifernia on January 22, 2012, in its original |
| 18. | packaging to be provided to the Sheri | lifornia, on January 23, 2012, in its original ff's designate for Riverside County, Indio, ula during his privileged visits with myself, |
| 19. | Martha Dixon, James Butts, Stephen Sp | pring, private investigators, and attorneys. |
| 20. | | der the laws of the State of California and and correct. I have affixed my electronic |
| 21. | | |
| 22. | Executed this 5 th day of February, 2012, at Laf | rayette, Louisiana. |
| 23. | Respectfully sub | mitted, |
| 24. | | |
| 25. | William Pica | erd |
| 26. | William Pica | ard |
| 27. | NOTICE OF VOTICE AND VOTICE OF THE PROPERTY OF | A LITHODIZIDIO MOLIERIO VOE OF UR LETTERA |
| 28. | 12 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER A DURING ATTORNEY VISITS | AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE TAPE |

| 1. | |
|---|--|
| 2. | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 3. | COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE |
| 4. | (INDIO BRANCH) |
| 5. | PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,) CASE NO: INF 064492 PLAINTIFF |
| 6.7.8.9. | v.) NOTICE AND MOTION) FOR COURT ORDER) AUTHORIZING MOVER'S) USE OF "BABBLE" TAPE) DURING ATTORNEY KAUSHAL NIROULA, ET AL) VISITS DEFENDANT) |
| 10. |) |
| 11.12.13. | DECLARATION OF MARTHA DIXON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR USE OF A SPEAKER AND BABBLE TAPE DURING HIS PRIVILEGED VISITS WHILE IN THE INDIO JAIL |
| 14. | I, Martha Dixon, declare: |
| 15. 16. | 1. I am Kaushal Niroula's court appointed Legal Runner. I was present during the purchase of the USB Speaker at Staples in La Quinta, California, on January 23, 2012, in its original packaging to be provided to the Sheriff's designate for Riverside |
| 17. | County, Indio, California, to be used by Kaushal Niroula during his privileged visits with myself, William Picard, James Butts, Stephen Spring, private investigators, and |
| 18. | attorneys. 2. I have had singular possession, care, custody and control of the speaker since then |
| 19. | preserving a chain of custody for purposes of Mr. Niroula's babble tape motion and will maintain same until presented in Open Court to Judge Downing. |
| 20. | 3. I have read the content of the Motion relating to the incident where I was present, in the Indio Jail Lobby, waiting to see Mr. Niroula, when I was asked by one of the jail |
| 21. | Deputies, how long I would be in the Attorney booth, I explained over an hour, then I heard the Indio jail deputy, comment to another Indio Jail Deputy, "get two CD's. |
| 22. | 4. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the |
| 23. | foregoing is true and correct. |
| 24. | Executed this 5 th day of February, 2012. |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 1001000 | |

| 1. | |
|-----|---|
| 2. | Respectfully Submitted, |
| 3. | Martha Diχon |
| 4. | Martha Dixon |
| 5. | |
| 6. | |
| 7. | |
| 8. | |
| 9. | |
| 10. | |
| 11. | |
| 12. | |
| 13. | |
| 14. | |
| 15. | |
| 16. | |
| 17. | |
| 18. | |
| 19. | |
| 20. | |
| 21. | |
| 22. | |
| 23. | |
| 24. | |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 27. | 1.4 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COLIDT OPDED ALITHOPIZING MOVED'S LISE OF "DADDLE TARE |
| 28. | 14 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE TAPE DURING ATTORNEY VISITS |

1. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 2. **COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE** 3. (INDIO BRANCH) 4. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, **CASE NO: INF 064492 PLAINTIFF** 5. NOTICE AND MOTION v. 6. FOR COURT ORDER **AUTHORIZING MOVER'S** 7. **USE OF "BABBLE" TAPE DURING ATTORNEY** 8. KAUSHAL NIROULA, ET AL VISITS 9. **DEFENDANT** 10. 11. DECLARATION OF STEPHEN SPRING IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR USE OF A SPEAKER AND BABBLE TAPE DURING HIS PRIVILEGED VISITS WHILE IN THE 12. **INDIO JAIL** 13. I, Stephen Spring, declare: 14. 1. I represent Kaushal Niroula as his attorney in various other matters. I am a member of the Louisiana State Bar Association, LBN: 12347, in good standing, and was present 15. during the purchase of the USB Speaker at Staples in La Quinta, California, on 16. January 23, 2012, in its original packaging to be provided to the Sheriff's designate for Riverside County, Indio, California, to be used by Kaushal Niroula during his 17. privileged visits with myself, William Picard, Enrique Tira, Martha Dixon, James Butts, private investigators, and attorneys. 18. 2. I have been present with William Picard and Martha Dixon since the purchase of the USB speaker which has remained in Martha Dixon's exclusive care, custody and 19. 3. At Mr. Niroula's request, I engaged the services of James M. Atkinson of Granite 20. Island Group, located in Rockport, Massachusetts, to provide Mr. Niroula with a babble tape to prevent the interception or monitoring of Mr. Niroula's privileged 21. visits. Mr. Atkinson is a world renowned expert in the field of TSCM (Technical 22. Surveillance Countermeasures) in both hardware and software applications which involve the use of various technical equipment. Mr. Atkinson normally charges a 23. minimum of \$2,500.00 for the production of any babble tape which is, in my opinion, far superior to acoustic noise generators available in the countermeasure market. I 24. received the babble tape file and coordinated the provision of elements of the specifically constructed babble tape file for Mr. Niroula. Mr. James M. Atkinson is a 25. client of the firm of Spring & Spring, LLC, and I have Mr. James Atkinson's express permission to disclose that he is a firm client. I will state that when Mr. Atkinson 26. learned of the policy and procedures of the Riverside County Sheriff's Office

1. invading the Attorney Client Privilege he volunteered to forego his normal fee and 2. volunteered to produce the "babble" file gratis as a result of his abhorrence of such a 3. policy imposed by the Sheriff of Riverside as the ultimate repository of policy, custom and conventional usages which he considers unconstitutional and a 4. deprivation and infringement of a pretrial detainee's civil rights (i.e. Mr. Niroula) and his Court appointed assets and attorneys. 5. 4. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration is signed Louisiana 6. electronically in Louisiana having the same effect as my own original signature 7. Executed this 6th day of February, 2012, at Baton Rouge, Louisiana. 8. 9. Respectfully Submitted, 10. 11. Stephen Spring 12. **Stephen Spring** 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE TAPE

| S | UPERIOR COURT OF THE ST COUNTY OF RIV | |
|--------------------|---|--|
| | (INDIO BRAN | NCH) |
| | STATE OF CALIFORNIA, INTIFF) | CASE NO: INF 064492 |
| v. KAUSHAL NIRO | | MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE" TAPE DURING ATTORNEY VISITS |
| DEF | TENDANT) | |
| |) | |
| I, James M. Atkin | VISITS WHILE IN THI son, declare: | E INDIO JAIL |
| 1. | | Rockport, Essex County, Massachusetts. |
| 2. 3. | | f any crime in any jurisdiction. nized expert in electronic countermeasures |
| | and TSCM (Technical Surv | eillance Countermeasures) having, in the |
| | | for the United States Congress in the olved the use by contractors of substandard |
| | | ified electronics that were being paid for by classified military intelligence and data to |
| | interception. I have also testif | fied in other matters to Congress, and other |
| | | ted matters, and worked as a contractor to gencies on this and related matters. |
| 4. | My clients have included t | the Central Intelligence Agency, Federal |
| | numerous other federal and st | S. Department of State, U.S. Marshalls, and ate agencies. |
| 5. 6. | I have attached hereto my current of Spring & | riculum vitae. Spring, LLC, Attorneys at Law, located |
| 0. | primarily in Baton Rouge, Lo | ouisiana, and on behalf of Kaushal Niroula |
| 7. | residing in the Indio Jail, I put The firm of Spring & Spring | t together a "babble" tape. July LLC consists of a retired member of the |
| ,· | Federal Bureau of Investigation | on and a younger member who is one of the |
| | - | in the United States with technical ical surveillance countermeasures. |
| | Č | |

| 1. | | |
|-----|-----------------|--|
| 2. | 8. | Mr. Niroula's trial on the merits is set to commence at 9am PST on May 25, 2012. |
| 3. | 9. | It is, in my opinion, essential that he be able to communicate with his Court ordered assets without interception, monitoring, or illegal |
| 4. | | eavesdropping. |
| 5. | 10. | To that end, I engineered, composed, and constructed the custom "babble tape" which has been described in the Motion to which this Declaration |
| 6. | 11. | is to be attached. The use of the babble tape by Mr. Niroula will in no way negatively |
| 7. | | impact any security measure in the jail where he resides. It will, however, insure that in the event that there are any hidden microphones |
| 8. | 12. | or listening devices being used, they will be nullified. This file will be played by way of a computer through a small speaker, |
| 9. | 12. | when confidential discussions will be held between Mr. Niroula and |
| 10. | | members of his legal team in order to mask the conversations in an effective manner, so long as the audio is played at a high enough volume |
| 11. | | to "fill the room" and to create reflections within the room of the audio, though the use of two small portable speakers into the client side of the |
| 12. | | room. Then a similar system being used on the attorney side of the glass to protect the other side of the conversation. |
| 13. | 13. | With this babble tape or audio file being played through Mr. Niroula's Apple MacBook attached to a set of USB speaker and a similar audio file |
| 14. | | being played on the attorney side of the attorney or member of his legal |
| 15. | | team visiting booth in Indio jail, a moderate level of proper oral privacy security and attorney-client confidentially can be achieved. |
| 16. | 14. | When I learned the conduct of the Riverside Sherriff's Office regarding attorney booths and visits, I volunteered to produce the "babble" file |
| 17. | | gratis as a result of my personal abhorrence of such a policy imposed by the Sheriff of Riverside as the ultimate repository of policy, custom and |
| 18. | | conventional useages which I consider unconstitutional and a deprivation and infringement of a pretrial detainee's civil rights (i.e. Mr. Niroula, Mr. |
| 19. | 15. | Garcia, and others) and their Court appointed assets and attorneys. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of |
| 20. | 13. | California and Massachusetts that the foregoing is true and correct |
| 21. | | although this is being executed in Massachusetts. This declaration is signed electronically in Massachusetts having the same effect as my own |
| 22. | | original signature |
| 23. | Executed this: | 5 th day of February, 2012, at Rockport, Essex County, Massachusetts |
| 24. | | Respectfully submitted, |
| 25. | | James M. Atkinson |
| 26. | | James M. Atkinson |
| 27. | 18 NOTICE OF MO | TION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE TAPE |
| 28. | DURING ATTO | |

| | HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OF RIVERSIDE |
|---|--|
| | BRANCH) |
| PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORN PLAINTIFF | IA,) CASE NO: INF 064492 |
| v. KAUSHAL NIROULA, ET AL DEFENDANT |) MOTION) FOR COURT ORDER) AUTHORIZING MOVER'S) USE OF "BABBLE" TAPE) DURING ATTORNEY) VISITS) |
| | |
| | OS TOLBERT, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF MR. PEAKER AND BABBLE TAPE DURING HIS |
| | WHILE IN THE INDIO JAIL |
| I, Demi Tolbert, declare: | |
| I am a member of the California I and practice law in California. | Giroula as his attorney in the above-entitled action. Bar Association, CBN: 224981, in good standing, |
| Justice Center on a death penalty of telling him that I would walk over | y 3, 2012, I appeared in Dept 302 at the Southwest case. My client is housed at Southwest also. I was er to the jail after Court so we could talk, when unty Sheriff's Office interjected and said that we |
| could talk right there. I replied t | that Deputy Clark's presence did not make my ate since my client was seated inches from the |
| Deputy Clark informed me that my never private because my client | conversations with my client were privileged but was in custody. He also told me that ALL |
| by recording or putting a deputy in | "monitored" throughout Riverside County: either the room to listen. Deputy Clark of the Riverside told me that all conversations between persons |
| housed in the Riverside County attorney booths are monitored. | jails with their attorneys or anyone else in the |
| ± • | epending on the security risk the Sheriff's office recrated (including pretrial detainees) the Sheriff's conversations with their attorneys. |
| Deputy Clark stated the deputies are they are instructed and able to lister | e not allowed to testify as to the conversations, but n based to these privileged conversations based on |
| some sort of security need. | |

| 1. | |
|-----|--|
| 2. | 6. I tried to visit my client at the jail after Court, but he had been placed in isolation by |
| 3. | the Sheriff's Department. I was told there was no one to walk him to the attorney |
| 4. | booths since visiting going on 7. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the |
| 5. | foregoing is true and correct. This declaration is signed electronically in California having the same effect as my own original signature |
| 6. | Executed this 5 th day of February, 2012, at Cathedral City, Riverside County, California. |
| 7. | Respectfully submitted, |
| 8. | |
| 9. | Demitra Haidos Tolbert |
| 10. | |
| 11. | Demitra Haidos Tolbert |
| 12. | |
| 13. | |
| 14. | |
| 15. | |
| 16. | |
| 17. | |
| 18. | |
| 19. | |
| 20. | |
| 21. | |
| 22. | |
| 23. | |
| 24. | |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 27. | NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER WITHOUT THE COURT OF THE C |
| 28. | 20 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BABBLE TAPE DURING ATTORNEY VISITS |

| 1. | |
|---|---|
| 2. | PROOF OF SERVICE |
| 3. | <u>People vs. Niroula</u> |
| 4. 5. | I HEREBY DECLARE that I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the above entitled action. My Business address is: 74923 U S Hwy 111, # 155, Indian Wells, Ca 92210. |
| 6. | On February 10, 2012, I served a true copy of: |
| 7. 8. | NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES AUTHORIZING USE OF BABBLE TAPE DURING ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED VISITS |
| 9. 10. | as Follows by causing electronic service via email pursuant to the order of the Superior Court of California to the following parties: |
| 11. | 1, Lisa DiMaria, Esq., 82-675 Highway 111, |
| 12. | 4th Floor Indio, California 92201; and |
| 13.14.15. | 2. Pamela J. Walls, Esq., and Anna W. Wang, Esq., County and Deputy Counsels for The County of Riverside & Sheriff's Department 3960 Orange St 5th Fl, |
| 16. | Riverside, California 92501; and |
| 17.18. | 3. Daniel Carlos Garcia in Pro Per via email to Investigator Jeff Reed BK# 200911640 P.O. Box 1748 Riverside County Indio Jail, |
| 19. | Indio, California, 92202 |
| 20.21. | additionally via Indio Jail legal mail for courtesy copy delivery to Mr. Daniel Carlos Garcia in Pro Per. |
| 22.23. | Dated this 10th day of February, 2012, at Indio, California. |
| 24. | Martha Dixon |
| 25.26. | Martha Dixon, Legal Runner for Kaushal Niroula Electronically Signed |
| 27. | 2.1 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING MOVER'S USE OF "BARRLE TAPE |